

Journal of Vibration Engineering

ISSN:1004-4523

Registered



SCOPUS



DIGITAL OBJECT IDENTIFIER (DOI)



GOOGLE SCHOLAR



IMPACT FACTOR 6.1



Performing Media Operations using Feature Based Model and Gesture Recognition by ImplementingMachineLearningTechniques.

[1] Jyoti Avhale, [2] Prof. Ashwini Gaikwad
[1][2] Department of Computer Science and Engineering
[1][2] Deogiri Institue of Engineering and Management Studies

Abstract

When someone calls while you are viewing a video, you have to look elsewhere or leave the computerfor a while, which means you miss some of the film. You must drag the video back from the last placeyou saw it. A media player that pauses itself when the user is not gazing at it is called a Gaze BasedMedia Player with gesture recognition for the hands. The moment the user glances at it, the playerresumes running. The web camera or camera module on top of the PC is used for this. With this project, we're creating a sophisticated media player that automatically plays and pauses videos based on facialrecognition, and moves videos forward and backward based on hand gestures. Using a HaarcascadeClassifier, the system maintains record of whether the user is looking at the screen or not. The isgoingtoterminatethevideoiftheuserisnotpayingattentionorifitisunabletoidentifytheuser'sface. Convolutional Neural Networks are used to control the media player's other features, including playing thenextand previous videos. Additionally, ithasthe capability ofregulating mediap layer features like noise detection and evaluation between machine output and input from the environment of the contraction of the contraent; if the input is greater, the media player will stop.

Introduction

Human Computer Interaction can acquire several advantages with the introduction of different

naturalforms of device free communication. Gestures are a natural form of actions which we often use in ourdaily life for interaction, therefore to use it as a communication medium with computers generates anew paradigm of interaction with computers. With the emergence of many natural types of device-freecommunication, human-computer interaction can gain a number of benefits. The use of acommunication to olwith computers creates an ewparadigm of connection with computers since gestures are a natural form of activity that we frequently employ in our daily lives. We'll go throughcomputer vision and gesture detection methods that were created on a low-cost, vision-based input device for controlling media players using gestures. The VLC programme has central computationalmodulethatanalysesgestureimagesusingPrincipalComponentAnalysistoidentifythegesture' sfeaturevectors and save themas anXML file.

The Recognition of the gesture is done by Convolutional Neural Networks. The theoretical analysis of theapproachshowshowtodorecognitioninstaticbackground. This handgesture recognition technique will not only replace the use of mouse to control the VLC player but also provide different gesture vocabulary which will be useful in controlling the application. The face recognition is a technique to identify or verify the face from the digital images or video frame. A human can quickly identify the faces without much effort. It is an effort less task for us, but it is a difficult task for a computer. There are

various complexities, such as low resolution, occlusion, illumination variations, etc. These factors highlyaffectthe accuracyofthecomputer to recognize thefacemore effectively.

Convolutional Neural Networks provide the gesturerecognition. How to recognise objects against astatic background is demonstrated by the theoretical study of the method. In addition to eliminating theneedforamousetomanagetheVLCplayer,thishandgesturedetectionapproachalsooffersavarietyof gestures that can be used to control the programme. Face recognition is a technique for locating orauthenticating the face in digital photographs or video frames. A human can easily and quickly recognisethe faces. For us, it is a simple task, but for a computer, it is challenging. There are many difficulties,includinglowresolution,occlusion,different lightingconditions,etc.

Face detection and character recognition come in many different varieties. The Haarcascade Classifierhas been utilised in this instance to detect faces. Our project's objective is to develop a sophisticated media player that utilizes facial expressions and hand motions. To accomplish the goal, we have set the following goals:

- A. Themediaplayer'suserinterfaceneedstobeeffectiveanduser-friendly.
- B. Themediaplayer'soutputshouldbeprecise.
- D.Iftheuser's facecannotbe quicklyidentifiedby themediaplayer, the videowill pause.
- C.Novideocontentismissing.
- D. Accurately recording hand motions and performing actions that go along with them are essential. When some one calls when you are viewing a video, you typically have to lookelse where or step away from the computer for a while, which means you miss some of the movie. You must drag the video back from the last place you saw it. Here is a fix for this issue, though a media player with a look-

based system that pauses when the user is n't looking at it. The user look satitagain, and the player immediately begins to move again. The computer's built-

incameraorwebcamisusedforthis. Themedia is played as long as the camera recognises the user's face gazing at it. As soon as the user's face cannot be seen in its whole, the player pauses.

The Haar-like features are arranged in the Viola-Jones object identification framework in a structureknown as a classifier cascade to create a powerful learner or classifier. A Haar-like feature's primarybenefit over most other features is its calculation speed. Digital image properties that resemble Haarsare employed for object recognition. They were employed in the first real-time face detector and gottheir name from how intuitively they resembled Haar wavelets. The target size window is dragged overthe input image during the detection phase of the Viola-Jones object detection framework, and the Haarlike feature is generated for each subsection of the image. Then, this difference is contrasted with alearntthresholdthatdistinguishesbetweenobjectsandnon-objects.AlargenumberofHaar-likefeatures are required toaccuratelydescribe an item because one such Haar-like feature is merelyaweak learner or classifier (its detection quality is only marginally better than random guessing). The Haar-like features are arranged the Viola-Jones identification in object framework in structure knownasaclassifiercascadetocreateapowerfullearnerorclassifier. AHaar-likefeature's primary benefitover most other features is its calculation speed. A Haar-like feature of any size can be determined inconstant time by using integral pictures (approximately 60 microprocessor instructions for a 2-rectanglefeature).

LiteratureReview

A significant research issue spanning many professions and disciplines is face recognition. This is due to the fact that face recognition is a fundamental human behaviour on the part that is necessary for successful human interactions and communication, in addition to having numerous practical applications like bankcard identification, access control, searching for mug shots, video surveillance, and surveillance systems. The first formal system for classifying faces was put forward in [1]. The authors suggest assembling face profiles as curves, calculating their average, and then classifying other profiles based on how far they differed from the average. This classification produces a vector of independent measures that can be compared to other vectors in a database since it is multi-modal. Face recognition technology has improved to the point where it is already being used in a settings [2].

This observation provides us with the person's "Biometric Signature." (2) The biometric signature is"normalised"byacomputerprogrammetomatchtheformat(size,resolution,view,etc.)ofthesignatures stored in the system's database. We obtain a "Normalized Signature" of the person by thenormalising of their biometric signature. (3) A matcher evaluates the normalised signature in comparison to the normalised signature in comparison to the normalised signature in comparison to the normalised signature. heset(orsubset)ofnormalisedsignaturesstoredinthesystem'sdatabaseandcalculates a "similarity score" for each signature in the database set (or sub-set). The application of thebiometric system determines what is performed with the similarity measure after that. Face recognition begins with the identification of face patterns in occasionally cluttered scenes, then moves on to nor other particles of the property of the prmalisingthefacialimagetoaccountforsimplegeometricandlightingchanges, possibly using knowledge of the position and visual appeal of facial landmarks. Finally, the results are post processedusing model-based strategies andlogistic feedback[3].

Face detection and normalisation and face identification are the two main components of every facerecognition system. Fully automatic algorithms are those made up of both parts, whereas partiallyautomatic algorithms are those made up of just the second part. The centre of the eyes' coordinates and a facial image are provided to partially automatical gorithms [4].

Just facial picture data is provided to fully automatic algorithms. On the other hand, as face recognitionhas advanced over the years, it is now possible to group recognition techniques into three categories, namely frontal, profile, and viewtolerant recognition, based on the type of photos and the algorithms. The traditional method of recognition is frontal, however view-tolerant algorithms typically executerecognitioninamoresophisticated way by taking into account parts of the underlying physics, geometry, and statistics. Profile schemes have only a very minor role in identification as stand-alone systems.

They are, nevertheless, particularly useful for quick, rough pre-searches of big face databases to lessenthe computing strain for a subsequent, complex algorithm, or as a component of a mix recognitionscheme. These hybrid approaches, which combine various recognition techniques either in a serial orparallel way to tackle the shortcomings of the separate components, have a unique position among facerecognition systems. Examining whether face recognition algorithms are based on models or exemplarsis another approach to classify them. In [5] the Quotient Image is computed, and in [6] the ActiveAppearanceModelisderived.Thesemodelsgivetightlimitationswhendealingwithvarianceinappearan ceandcapture classifier (the class face).

Exemplars can also be used for acknowledgment, which is the other extreme. The ARENA approach in the contraction of the contr

[7] only stores every training image and compares it to the task image. As far as we can determine, exemplars are not used in contemporary approaches that use models, and vice versa. This is due to the fact that these two strategies are not necessarily incompatible. A recent method of mixing models and exemplars for face recognition was put forth by [8]. In which models are employed to create newtraining photos, which may then be utilised as examples during a face recognition system's learning phase.

Facerecognitionmethodscanbeseparatedintotwogroupsbasedonhowtheyhandlepostureinvariance:Igloba Itechniquesand(ii)component-basedapproaches.Withaglobalmethod,aclassifieris fed a single feature vector that represents the entire face image. In the literature, a number ofclassifiers have been suggested, including Fisher's discriminant analysis [11], neural networks [12], andminimaldistanceclassification intheeigenspace [9, 10].

A significant research issue spanning many professions and disciplines is face recognition. This is due to the fact that face recognition is a fundamental human behaviour on the part that is necessary for successful human interactions and communication, in addition to having numerous practical applications like bankcard identification, access control, searching for mug shots, video surveillance, and surveillance systems.

The first formal system for classifying faces was put forward in [1]. The authors suggest assembling faceprofiles as curves, calculating their average, and then classifying other profiles based on how far they differed from the average. This classification produces a vector of independent measures that can be compared to other vectors in a databases in ceitismulti-

modal. Face recognition technology has improved to the point where it is already being used in actual settings [2].

Frontal images of faces can be categorised effectively using global approaches. Yet, because globalcharacteristics are so sensitive to the face's translation and rotation, they are not resistant to changes inposition. Before classifying the face, an alignment stagecan be introduced to eliminate this issue. Calculating correspondence between the two face images is necessary for aligning an input face image with a reference face image. The connection is typically established for a select few significant facial features, such as the corners of the mouth, the nostrils, and the eye centre. The input face image can

bewarpedtoareferencefaceimagebasedonthesecorrespondences.[13]computesanaffinetransformation to carry out the warping. [14] employs active shape models to match input face withmodel faces. In [15], the combination of a semi-automatic alignment stage and a classification phaseusingsupport vectormachines wassuggested.

The classification of local facial components is a complement to the global strategy. Component-basedrecognition's major goal is to account for pose variations in the categorization stage by providing avariable geometrical link between the components. By independently comparing templates of two facial regions, face recognition was carried out in [16]. (eyes, nose and mouth). As the system didn't have ageometric representation of the face, the component arrangement during categorization was unrestricted. In [17], a similar strategy with an additional alignment stage was suggested. A 2D elastic graph was used in [18] to create a face's geometrical model. The wavelet coefficients obtained on the elastic graph's nodes served as the foundation for the recognition.

In [19], a window was placed across the facial picture, and a 2D Hidden Markov Model was fed the DCTcoefficients obtained inside the window. In some specialised areas, such stance and lighting variations, face detection and recognition study still has challenges. Even though several approaches have been putup to address these issues and have shown a great deal of promise, obstacles still exist. Because

thesefactors, automatic face recognition currently performs matching rather poorly when compared to finger prints and iris match, despite the fact that it can be the only measuring instrument available for an application. Error rates between 2 and 25% are usual. When used in conjunction with other biometric metrics, it is beneficial.

This section provides a summary of the main human face recognition methods that mostly apply tofrontal faces, along with the benefits and drawbacks of each methodology. The techniques taken into account include geometrical feature matching, neural networks, dynamic data design, hidden Markovmodel, and template matching. The facial representations used in the approaches are examined.

One of the methods for facial recognition that has been the most fully researched is Eigenface. It is alsoreferred to as a primary component, eigenpicture, eigenvector, and the Karhunen-Loève expansion. Principal component analysis was employed in references [16] to effectively depict images of faces. They claimed that with a minimal set of weights for every face and a common face image, any detected faces could be roughly rebuilt (eigenpicture). Projecting the facial image onto to the eigenpicture yields the weights that describe each face. Reference [16] employed eigenfaces, a face identification and detection method in spired by Kirby and Sirovich's method.

Either the eigenvalues of the covariance of the collection of face images or the principal componentanalysis of the distributions of faces, in mathematics, are referred to as eigenfaces. The eigenvectors arearranged in descending order to indicate varying degrees of variance among the faces. A combination of the eigenfaces can accurately depict each face. Another method is to only use the "best" eigenvectors with the highest eigenvalues to make an estimate. The best M eigenfaces create the "face space," which is an M-dimensional space.

The average correct classifications across lighting, direction, and size variables were reported to be 96%,85%, and 64%, respectively, by the authors. 16 people were represented by 2,500 photos in theirdatabase. The results above are influenced by backdrop because the photos contain a significant amount of background space. The authors used a strong correlation between pictures and variations in illumination to explain the resilient system's ability to function undervarious lighting situations.

Theconnectionbetween whole-facepictures, as demonstratedby[17], isineffectiveforachievingadequate recognition performance. With the eigen faces technique, illumination normalisation [17] istypically essential. If the object is Lambertian, reference [30] suggested a new approach to construct thecovariance matrix using three photos, each obtained in a different lighting environment, to compensateforarbitraryillumination effects. The initial stuff on eigen face in Reference [18] was expanded toinclude eigen features that correlate to facial features like the eyes, mouth, and lips. They employed amodular eigen space made up of the aforementioned characteristics are important (i.e., eigen eyes, eigen nose, and eigen mouth). The usual eigenface technique would be more susceptible to changes inappearance than this one. For the 7,562 images of nearly 300 people in the FERET database, the systemhad a 95% recognition rate. In summary, eigenface appears to be a quick, easy, and useful technique. Nevertheless, broadly speaking, itdoes not provide invariance overchanges in scale and lighting.

Recentearandfacerecognitionexperiments[19]usingtheconventionalprincipalcomponentevaluationappro achshowedthattheefficacyofrecognitionusingearimagesorfacialimagesisessentially identical, and that using both for multi - modal recognition results in a statistically meaningfulperformance improvement. the multimodal biometric For instance, has а rank-one identification ratedifferenceof90.9%comparedto71.6%fortheearand70.5%forthefaceforthedayvariationexperiment using the 197-image training sets. There is extensive related work in multimodal biometrics. For instance, [17] and [18] both used voice and face for multimodal biometric identification. Yet, itappearsthat using the eye and eartogether is more pertinent for surveillance purposes.

The use of neural networks is appealing perhaps because the network is not linear. In light of this, thefeature extraction stage might be more effective than the linear Karhunen-Loève approaches. A singlelayer adaptive network called WISARD that has a different network for each stored individual was one oftheearliest artificialneuralnetwork (ANN)solutions forfacial recognition [19].

For successful recognition, the manner a neural network is built is essential. It heavily depends on the the that is being used. For face recognition, machine learning model [19] and convolutional neural networks [19] have been utilised. [38] is an interpyramid for face verification.

Self-organizing map (SOM) neural network, convolutional neural network, and local image sampling areall elements of the hybrid neural network suggested in reference [20]. In the interest of discretizationand invariance to small changes in the image sample, the SOM quantizes the image samples into atopological space where input that are close to one another in the original space are likewise

tooneanotherintheoutputspace. With complete invariance to rotation, rotation, scaling, and deformation, the convolution operation retrieves progressively larger features from a collection of hierarchical layers. Using 400 photos of 40 people in the ORL database, the studies report 96.2% correct recognition. Despite the training taking up to 4 hours, the classification process takes less than 0.5 seconds.

A decision-based neural network (DBNN modular)'s structure was handed down to the probabilistic decision-based neural net (PDBNN) used in the reference [20]. The face detector, which locates a humanface in a crowded image, the eye localizer, which determines the locations of both eyeballs in order toprovide useful feature vectors, and the face recognizer all scenarios where the PDBNN can be applied effectively. A fully connected network topology does not available for PDNN.

Instead, it creates K subnets to split the network. Each subset is used to identify a single database user. The output of each "facial subnet" in the PDNN is the weighted total of the neuron outputs, and its neurons are activated according to the Guassian activation function. Inotherwords, the popular mixture-of-Guassian model is used by the face subnet to estimate the likelihood density. Mixture of Guassian offers a far more complicated and flexible framework for estimating the time likelihood density in the face

a far more complicated and flexible framework for estimating the time likelihood densityin the face space than the AWGN scheme does. Each network is trained with its own set of face photosduringthe initial phaseofthe PDNN's two-phase learningprocess.

The subnet variables may be educated by some specific samples from different face classes during thesecond phase of the learning process, referred to as decision-based learning. Not all of the trainingsamplesareusedinthedecision-

basedlearningstrategy'straining.Onlyincorrectpatternsareemployed. The correct subnet will adjust its settings in order to relocate its decision-region closer to theincorrectlyclassifiedsampleifthesamplewasmisclassifiedtothatsubnet.Thedistributedcomputing

theoryofthe PDBNN-based biometricauthentication system is very simple to build on parallel computers, and it has the advantages of both neural nets and statistical techniques.

A.S. Tolba, "A parameter—based combined classifier for invariant face recognition,", the PDBNN facerecognizer could identify up to 200 people in a single second and reach a recognition accuracy rate of upto 96%. To the contrary, as the population grows, the computing cost will get more difficult. In general, as the variety of classes (i.e., people) grows, neural network systems run into issues. Additionally,

theyareunsuitableforasinglepieceimagerecognitiontestsinceretrainingthesystemsto"optimal"parametern ecessitates theusageof severalmodelphotos for each individual.

Recently, the use of SVMs in computer vision problems has been proposed. In reference [22], the faceidentification problem was addressed using SVMs and a binary tree identification technique. SVMs trainthe discriminating function between each pair of features after the features have been retrieved. Afterthat, the systemreceives the disjointed testset for recognition. For the purpose of identifying the testing samples, they suggest using a binary tree structure. There were given two sets of experiments. The first experiment uses 400 photos of 40 people from the Cambridge Olivetti Research Lab (ORL) facedatabase. These conduses a bigger dataset with 1079 photos of 137 different people.

The face recognition is sue is presented as a difference space problem in [22], which models the difference s

between two facial images. They formulate face recognition as a two class problem in adifferent environment. Cases include I facial differences between individuals, and (ii) facial differences between individuals. A similarity metric between faces is created by altering the interpretation of the decision surface and is learned through examples of how different faces differ from one another. Using achallengingsetofphotosfromtheFERETdatabase,theSVM-

basedtechniqueandaprinciplecomponentanalysis (PCA)-based approacharecontrasted.

A component-based technique, two global techniques, and their performance in terms of resilienceagainstposechangeswerereportedinReference[23]. The component-

basedmethodidentified, extracted, and sorted a team of 10 facial characteristics into a single feature vector, which was then classified by linear SVMs. The entire face is identified, taken from the image, and sent into the classifiers in both global systems. For each database user, a single SVM made up the first global system. In these condapproach, agroup of view-specific, SVM are trained after clustering each person's database.

By incorporating a 3D morphable model into the training process, Reference [23] demonstrated a noveladvancementincomponent-basedfacerecognition. They calculated the 3D face model of each individual in the database using two face pictures of a person and a 3D morphable model. A hugenumber of synthetic face photosare created by rendering the 3D models invarious positions and lighting setups in order to train the component based recognition algorithm. For faces rotated up to 360 degrees in depth, a component-based identification rate of 98% is attained. The system's requirement for a sizable number of training photographs shot from various angles and in various lighting situations was a significant flaw.

An array of K optimal pairwise coupling classifiers (O-PWC) is created in [24] to address the multiclassclassification problem for a K-class classification test. Each O-PWC is the most accurate and optimal forthe corresponding class in terms of cross entropy of square error. The ultimate choice will be made bymerging the K O-PWC findings. This technique is used on the 400 photos of 40 people in the ORL facecollection, which contains quite a bit of variation in expression, position, and facial characteristics. 200 samples were included in the practise set (5 for each individual). The test set is comprised of theremaining 200 samples.

Nonetheless,SVMswereinvestigatedaspartoffacialauthenticationby[25].(verification).Theirresearch supports the idea that the SVM approach's effectiveness over benchmark approaches is mostlydue to its ability to extract the necessary discriminatory information from of the training data. SVMs losetheir superiority when the representation space already captures and accentuates the discriminatoryinformation content, as in the case ofFisherfaces. SVMscan handle changes in illumination as long asthe training data appropriately accounts for them. SVMs, however, can get over-trained on data that hasbeen cleaned up using extraction of features (Fisherfaces) and/or normalisation, which impairs theircapacityto generalise.

Conclusion

Computervisionsubstantialresearchfocusesrecognitionofhandgesturesandfacialdetectioninapplications like sign language interpretation and human-computer interaction. The development of asystemthat canrecognise hand movements andfacedetection tosendinformationforcontrollingmedia units is the main objective of the proposed methodology. By using hand and facial gestures, signlanguage is a frequent, efficient, and alternate method of interaction for the deaf and hard of hearing. Here, there is no need for an intermediate medium because the hand and face are being used directly astheinput to the deviceforsuccessful communication and gesture identification.

References

- [1] B. Takács, "Comparing face images using the modified hausdorff distance," Pattern Recognition,vol.31, pp. 1873-1881,1998.
- [2] Y. Gao and K.H. Leung, "Face recognition using line edge map," IEEE Transactions on PatternAnalysis andMachine Intelligence, vol. 24, no. 6, June 2002.
- [3] V. Blanz and T. Vetter, "Face recognition based on fitting a 3D morphable model," IEEE Trans. OnPatternAnalysisandMachine Intelligence, vol.25,no.9, September2003
- [4] A. Lanitis, C. Taylor, and T. Cootes, "Automatic interpretation and coding of face images usingflexible models," IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, vol. 19, no. 7,pp. 743–756,2012.
- [5] PurdueUniv.FaceDatabase,http://rvl1.ecn.purdue.edu/~aleix/aleix face DB.html,2013.
- [6] V.N.Vapnik, "Thenatureofstatisticallearningtheory," NewYork: Springverlag, 2013.
- [7] C.J. Lin, "On the convergence of the decomposition method for support vector machines," IEEETransactionson NeuralNetworks, 2014.
- [8] G.Guo,S.Z. Li, and K. Chan, "Facerecognition by support vector machines," Inproc. IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition, pp. 196-201, 2014.
- [9] P.J. Phillips, "Support vector machines applied to face recognition," Processing system 11, 1999.[10]B.Heisele,P.Ho,andT.Poggio, "Facerecognitionwithsupportvectormachines:Globalversus component-based approach," in International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV'01), 2015.[11]J.Huang,V.Blanz,andB.Heisele, "FacerecognitionusingComponent-Basedsupportvector machineClassificationandMorphablemodels,"LNCS2388,pp.334-341,2016.

- [12] K.Jonsson, J. Mates, J. Kittler and Y.P. Li, "Learning support vectors for face verification and recognition," Fourth IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition 2000, pp. 208-213, Los Alamitos, USA, March 2017.
- [13]G.D. Guo, H.J. Zhang, S.Z. Li. "Pairwise face recognition". In Proceedings of 8th IEEE InternationalConferenceon ComputerVision. Vancouver, Canada. July 9-12, 2017.
- [14]O. Deniz, M. Castrillon, M. Hernandez, "Face recognition using independent component analysisandsupportvectormachines," Pattern Recognition Letters, vol. 24, pp. 2153-2157, 2018.
- [15]Y. Li, S. Gong and H. Liddell. Support vector regression and classification based multi-view facedetectionandrecognition.InProc.IEEEInternationalConferenceonFaceandGestureRecognition ,Grenoble, France, March2018.
- [16] K.I.Kim, K.Jung, and J.Kim, "Facerecognition using support vector machines with local correlation kernels," International Journal of Pattern Recognition and Artificial Intelligence, vol. 16 no. 1, pp. 97-111, 2018.
- [17] K. Jonsson, J. Kittler, Y. P. Li, and J. Matas, "Support vector machines for face authentication," in T. Pridmore and D. Elliman, editors, British Machine Vision Conference, pp. 543–553,
- 2019.[18] Huang J., X. Shao, and H. Wechsler, "Faceposediscrimination using support vector machines," 14th International Conference on Pattern Recognition, (ICPR), Brisbane, Queensland, Aus,
- 2019.[19]S.Pang,D.Kim,S.Y.Bang,"Membershipauthenticationinthedynamicgroupbyface classification using SVM ensemble,"

Pattern[20]RecognitionLettersvol.24,pp.215-225,2020.

- [21]A.S. Tolba, " A parameter–based combined classifier for invariant face recognition," CyberneticsandSystems,vol. 31, pp.289-302,2020.
- [22] A.S. Tolba, and A.N. Abu-Rezq, "Combined classifiers for invariant face recognition," Pattern Anal. Appl. Vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 289-302, 2020.
- [23] Ho-
 - ManTang, Michael Lyu, and Irwin King, "Facere cognition committee machine, "In Proceedings of IEEE In ternational Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing (ICASSP 2003), pp. 837-840, April 6-10, 2003.
- [24] Rui Huang, Vladimir Pavlovic, and Dimitris N. Metaxas, "A hybrid face recognition method usingMarkovrandom fields," ICPR (3),pp. 157-160, 2020.
- [25] A.S. Tolba, A.H. El-Baz, and A.A. El-Harby, "Arobust boosted parameter-based combined classifier for pattern recognition," submitted for publication. 2021