

Journal of Vibration Engineering

ISSN:1004-4523

Registered



SCOPUS



DIGITAL OBJECT IDENTIFIER (DOI)



GOOGLE SCHOLAR



IMPACT FACTOR 6.1



THEINFLUENCIALROLEOFCORPORATEGOVERNANCEONOR GANIZATIONALPERFORMANCE

*PrernaChandel&**Dr.SulochnaSyal

*ResearchScholar,SchoolofManagement,BahraUniversity,India

**HeadofDepartment,AssociateProfessor,SchoolofManagement,BahraUniversity,India

ABSTRACT

The existence of ethical concernsin organizational governance policies, practices and the general code of conduct which companies follow has brought about the need for researcher to assess their implications on the management of firms. Present study aims to empirically test the relationship between corporate governance and organizational performance in India. For this the study employed the survey researchdesign administered on 600 respondents which were cut across employees of different organizations situated in the capital of India, Delhi. Out of which 455 questionnaires were duly filled, returned and analyzed. The study revealed that adoption of ethical code of conduct and employee adherence to rules and regulations enhance organizational performance. The study also revealed that there is a statistically significant relationship between corporate governance and organizational performance. The outcomes of the study will prove to be essential in helping Indian governance policy makers to take note of different study outcomes and practices to improve the efficiency of the organization sperformance.

Keywords: Corporategovernance, Ethics, Organizational performance

Introduction

Cultural moralsgive the bases onwhich ahumanized state exists. Without these morals human progress breakdown. The equivalent is valid for business morals to the business association. It provides the footprint on which organizational culture and structure is founded (Dombin, 2012). Ethics are code of values and principles that governs the action of a person, or a group of people regarding what is right versus what is wrong. Business ethics is the behaviour that abusiness adheresto in its daily dealings with the world. They apply not only to how the business interacts with the world at large, but also to their dealings with customers (Dombin, 2012). Corporate governance aims at facilitating effective monitoring and efficient control of business. Its essence lies in fairness and transparency in operations and enhanced disclosures for protecting interest of different stakeholders (Arora and Bodhanwala, 2018).

The development of business association depends on its sound moral set of accepted rules set to directboth administration and worker in its every day exercises. The importance of business ethics in thismodern-day business organization have been brought to light by corporate fraud unethical issue that spanthe corporate world in the last 12 to 15 years, which have led many regulatory authorities to stipulaterules, law and standard which businesses must adhere to in their day-to-day governance (Ponemon & Michaelson, 2000; Steve, Steensma, Harrison & Cochran, 2005).

Earlier good governance was not a mandated legal requirement and adherence was voluntary, butowing to corporate failures on account of unethical practices at top level management, most of the countries have initiated mandatory norms and guidelines to strengthen corporate governance framework. The Cadbury Committee report in United Kingdom (UK) in 1992 and Sarbanes Oxley (SOX) Act in United States (US) in 2002 are considered a seminal development in corporate governance regulations followed by similar codes of good governance in restof the countries.

Some developing economy are still struggling to come out of the economic meltdown. As noted byPrimeauxandStieber,(1994)"thebusinessenterpriseisbesiegedbypopularmisconceptionsaswellasbylegal,r eligiousandacademictheoristsanxioustoprovethatbusinessseeksonlyself-servingaggrandizement, i.e., to maximize its profitsand to do so at anycost to the consumer, thecommunityand the environment". Business encounters many ethical dilemmas in their daily business activities, somearesignificantwhileothersarenot. Thereishighconflictbetween ethicsandbusiness.

Morals might be thought of as a type of good way of thinking the methodical investigation of goodleads, standards, commitments, understandings, qualities and standards. At a cultural level there are different issues that shape the way of life or good air of associations. In order to understand a particular public sector organization, then, it is necessary to understand and developer it is also that shape the way of life or good air of associations. In order to understand a

oforganizations-

thesetsofrelativelysharedvaluesandnormsthatareexpressedandnegotiatedbytheparticipantsthemselves (Wallaceetal.1999).

Abusinessissueexistswhenanauthoritativechieffacesadecisionbetweenatleasttwoalternativesthatimpacts and affect (a) theorganization's profitability and competitiveness and (b) its stakeholders. Ethical Issues in Business involves:

- EmployeeandEmployerrelations;EmployerandEmployeerelations
- CompanyandCustomerrelations;CompanyandShareholderrelations
- CompanyandCommunityInterest

CorporateGovernance

Corporate governance is the system of rules, practices and processes by which a firm is directed and controlled. Corporate governance essentially involves balancing the interests of a company's many stakeholders, such as shareholders, management, customers, suppliers, financiers, government and the community. The pillars of successful corporate governance are: accountability, fairness, transparency, assurance, leadership and stakeholder management.

Commonlyacceptedprinciplesofcorporategovernanceinclude:

- Rightsandequitabletreatmentofshareholders.Organizationsshouldrespecttherightsofshareholdersa ndhelpshareholderstoexercisethoserights.
- Interests of other stakeholders: Organizations should recognize that they have legal and otherobligationstoalllegitimatestakeholders.
- Role and responsibilities of the board: The board needs a range of skills and understanding to beable
 todealwithvariousbusinessissuesandhavetheabilitytoreviewandchallengemanagementperformanc

e.

- Disclosure and transparency: Organizations should clarify and make publicly known the rolesandresponsibilities of board and management to provide shareholders with a level of accountability.
- Integrityandethicalbehavior:Organizationsshoulddevelopacodeofconductfortheirdirectorsandexec utivesthatpromoteethicalandresponsible decision-making.

Corporate Governance in India

CorporateGovernanceinIndiaisasetoninteriorcontrols,arrangementandtechniqueswhichstructurethesyste mofanorganization'stasksanditsdealingswithdifferentpartners,forexample,clients,

the board, workers, government and industry bodies. The structure of such approaches ought to be, forexample,tomaintainthestandardsofstraightforwardness,uprightness,moralsandgenuineness.Corporate Governance is the spirit of an association and must be clung to while enjoying any strategicapproaches.

Keeping pace with the global developments, India has witnessed a series of such reforms in corporategovernance. One such reform is introduction of clause 49 of listing agreement by Security ExchangeBoard of India (SEBI), apex regulatory authority of stock market in India. This clause outlines corporategovernance structures for listed companies in India. It has led to significant implications on independent directors on board, enhanced disclosure requirements, making audit committees more powerfuletc. F urther, corporate governance initiatives are strengthened with the introduction of revised Company Act, 2013. Though, corporate governance norms and other disclosure guidelines have been introduced in Indiabutowingtoweakimplementation, the extent of compliance by the Indian companies is still questionable. Countries with legal have suffered higher depletionin weak norms exchange ratesandstockmarketdecline(Johnson, Boone, Breachand Friedman, 2000).

ImportanceofCorporateGovernance

RiskMitigationandcompliance

Thereisadirectrelationshipbetweengovernance, risk mitigation and compliance. On the offichance that an organization is represented based on sound standards, it will normally work effectively and guarantee consistence with each statutory law and rule. Being on track with the approaches and law guarantees that the organization is supported well for any vulnerability and along these lines has hazard moderation components up. Progressively trained an organization is in its activities, the betterit is puttoconfront any hazard or interruption emerging out of political, mechanical and financial occasions.

• Enhancesshareholdervalue

While there is no established relation between corporate governance and market value of a company, itdoes enhance shareholder satisfaction. Corporate Governance in India plays a key role in protecting valuations of a company because the ultimate goal of good governance is to maximise the interest of all stakeholders. The value accumulated by the company over the years can be wiped away by a single unlawful incident, thus internal controls at the right place is mandatory.

• Betterimageduringeconomicdownturns

During the most recent couple of months, we have heard numerous accounts of banking fakes andmonetary acts of neglect. It is nevertheless normal for individuals to accept that all banks and moneyrelated foundations are associated with all these, which isn't valid. It is just when an association canguarantee individuals about their characteristic administration rehearses that individuals will trust them. Dependability that has been set up over ages assumes a solid job in maintaining the organization's picturenotwithstandingduringpredicament.

• Enhancedorganizationaleffectiveness

Corporate Governance is an important determinant of industrial competitiveness. Nowadays there are many questions raised on the way a company is governed. Better governance ensures enhanced corporate performance and better economic results. Corporate Governance lays the foundation for behaviour

of the company, the utilization of resources, product/service innovation and overall corporate strategies.

• Crucialduringmergers&acquisitions

Corporate Governance in India plays a critical role during restructuring events such as mergers and acquisitions. Not only does corporate governance of a company helps to differentiate between good deals from bad ones, but mergers & acquisitions activity by a company with good corporate governance is better received by stakeholders in the market.

Following Table 1 provide framework of corporate governance in India:

Table1:FrameworkofCorporateGovernanceinIndia

S.NO.	ELEMENTS	ORGANIZATIONALMODEL
1	Values	Accountability, Transparency, Honesty,
		Integrity,DiligenceandExcellence
2	Principles	Governancepolicies, Ethical conductof
		board
3	Laws	CompanyAct1956and2013SEBIand
		Clause49
4	Good	Leadership,ControlandMonitoring,
	Governance	ProperDirection,Authority,
	Mechanisms	Accountability

Significanceofthestudy

The worldwide money related emergency of 2008, which saw the breakdown of numerous budgetaryfoundations, organizations and worldwide economies, carried with it as ubstantive test to strategy producers and called for advancement and authorization of powerful moral instruments. Developing markets like India which would be able to manage the cost of the outcomes of a frail arrangement of corporate administration along these lines started a procedure of genuine reconsidering on the surviving moral instruments. There emerged a clear recognition of the role of government and regulators to deliverane ffective legal system for market regulations.

ResearchProblem

EvenwhereBusinessethicsareavailabletoguidetheactivitiesofbusinessmanagement,therearestillsituations will wherethesebusinessethicsarenotfollowedoradheredtobybusinessmanager.Insucha there undoubtedly be business breakdown, extortion and distortion which nullify corporated evelopment. The discipline of corporate governance has attracted worldwide attention following thefinancial scams such as Enron, WorldCom and Tyco. The global business environment is facing anincreasing influence of ethical behaviors on the principles of corporate governance. The recent globalscandals in various multinational firms are linked to the increased calls for the strengthening of the corporate governance practices. The frauds in these associations have been tremendously connected to the disappointment by the political overseeing specialists to execute without support the standards of corporate administration. Financial blunder in the case of IT giant Satyam in India has shaken the Indiansystem out of its complacency and failed corporate governance practice and unethical practices hasbecome the center stage for new and stricter reforms. Since there is a growing concern of business ethicsin relation to long term corporate and growth, then it is pivotal to embarkon present studytoempirically sustainability testtherelationshipbetweencorporategovernanceand organizationalperformanceinIndia.

Reviewofliterature

In the previous two decades, corporate governance has emerged as a vital issue in the light of globalwave of privatization and a chain of worldwide corporate failures and scandals. Research concentratedentirely on the corporate governance issues and concerns in India has had gained thrust only in recenttime, after Indiane conomywas liberalized (Bhardwajand Rao, 2014).

According to Tricker (1984), there are two aspects of corporate governance: conformance and

performance.Conformanceconsistsoftwoelements:monitoringandsupervisingexecutiveperformance; and maintaining accountability. While performance consists of strategy formulation and policymaking.

CadburyReportintheUK (1992) identifiedthree importantprinciplesofcorporategovernance:openness, integrity and accountability. This Cadbury Report is a report to Cadbury Committee, a formalcommittee which was set up to address financial aspects of UK private sector corporate governance. This report was used as foundation for the first public sector corporate governance framework developed by British Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy in 1995 (Percy, 1994). One of the weakness of this framework is that they are based on broad principles (openness, integrity and account ability) instead the detailed one.

OECD (1999) states "this structure specifies the distribution of rights and responsibilities among different participants in the corporation, such as the board, managers, shareholders and other stakeholders, and spells out the rules and procedures for making decisions on corporate affairs".

AccordingtoRyanandNg(2000),therehasbeenincreasingworldwideattentiontocorporategovernanceinthe publicsector.UKandAustralia,forexample,issuedaframeworkofcorporategovernance in the public sector and guideline of how to apply principles and practice of corporategovernance in the public sector.

Further, Holmstromand Kaplan (2001) define corporate governance as "the mechanisms through which companies and their managers are directed, where such mechanisms frequently are identified in the forms of mergers, takeovers, executive stock options, involvement of board of directors and shareholders".

Furthermore, various theories of corporate governance are presented by the different scholars found in the literature where the most important is stakeholder perspective. The sense of wealth maximization of shareholders, the opinion was inhospitable to stakeholders of the company. It is argued that mutually beneficial relationships with stakeholders can enhance wealth creation potential of the corporation (Postetal 2002).

Even more,BenzandFrey(2007)suggested thatto improve weakness and failures of private sector practice as shown by the collapse and scandal of big corporation, corporate governance (private sector corporate governance) can learn from public governance. Public governance could give a newinsighttoim provegovernance of the corporation.

Oladele (2010), established the relationship which exists between the ethical behavior in an organization and the general performance of the firm. The study defined ethical behavior as a set of moral

principles which are utilized by the company with an aim of steering the conduct of the employees, firmand all the stakeholders. According to Oladele (2010), the ethical behavior has a significant influence on the performance of the organization. In fact, itaffects the performance, motivation, and productivity of the employees. The study further established that good ethical behavior is positively correlated with the the performance of a given organization. Thus, firms should adopt those measures, behavior, and principles which shape their ethics positively, as this will lead to improve dprofitability.

According to Heenetigala (2011), Value creation indicates that developing the long term goals forsustainable performance by focusing on the shareholders of the company. Value protection based onaccountability of managers and protects the interest of both shareholders and and analysis and stakeholders (Rezaee, 2009).

Inausefulstudy, Kaur (2012) conducts a comparative examination of corporate governance disclosure by private and public sector banks in India, where the focus is to stumble on the distinction in the corporate governance disclosures of private and public sector banks in India. Noticeable here, publicsector banks come under the category public enterprises India. Most corporate sector governanceliteratureconcludedthatcorporategovernanceframeworkmustbetailoredtoeachorganization, as the reisdifferenceneedbetweenoneandanotherorganization. The complexity arised in public sector corporate govern anceastherewillbeamorecomplexrelationships between those with primary accountability responsibilities (parli ament, ministers) as opposed with private sector.

According to Saeidi & Bidi (2012), the ethical principles have to be implemented in various stages of the organization to ensure that the decision-making process is based on evidence and facts and not bias or discrimination. Ethics affects the performance of the employees, for this reason, it is important to study the topic.

Yilmaz (2016) examined the impact of corporate governance variables on organizational financial performance in Turkey. The relationship between ownership structures, board structures and financial performances are tested. Research concludes that corporate governance variables influence firm performances. Sharesofind ependent board members and leverage have negative influences while for eignownership has positive influence on organizational financial performance.

Farhan et al (2017) showcased the effect of the corporate governance (CG) on firms' performance in the United Arab Emirates (UAE). The governance mechanisms employed in this study was board size, board independence and audit committee's (AC) characteristics. The examined AC characteristics are: AC member's independence, number of financial experts in the committee, ratio of meetings held during the year, and the incentives received by the AC members. Board independence has been found to

negatively affect organizational performance. AC meetings and financial experts' ratio did not affectorganizationalperformance, while AC incentives and AC independence negatively affected organizational performance.

Thapar & Sharma (2017) examined the concept of corporate governance in India with regard to the the provisions of corporate governance under the Companies Act 2013. Corporate Governance is not just company administration but more than that and includes monitoring the actions, policies, practices, and decisions of corporations, their agents, and affected stakeholders thereby ensuring fair, efficient and transparent functioning of the corporate managements ystem.

Kostyuk & Govorun (2018) highlighted the most recent trends incorporate governance, ownership and control. There are various factors of corporate governance like: emotional factors determine the top management successor selection in family firms, board diversity is a factor allowing stocks to have betterrisk-return trade-offscompared to traditional assets, and thus helping investors to achieve better results.

Objectives of the study

Thebroadobjectiveofthepresentstudyistoexaminetherelationshipbetweencorporategovernanceandperforma nceoftheorganization. Tofulfillthebroadobjectiveofthestudyfollowingspecificobjectives were made:

- Toanalyzetheinfluenceoforganizationalperformanceacrossdifferentdemographicvariables.
- Toassesstheinfluenceofcorporategovernanceonorganizational performance.
- Tostudycorporategovernancepracticesthatenhancesorganizationalperformance

Bykeepinginviewtheabovesetobjectives, following hypotheses were framed:

H01:Thereisnosignificantdifferencebetweenorganizationalperformanceandage.H02:Thereisnosignificantdifferencebetweenorganizationalperformanceandgender.

H03:Thereisnosignificant difference between organizational performance and marital status. H04:Thereisnosignificant difference between organizational performance and designation.

H05:There is no significant difference between organizational performance and work experience. H06:There is no significant difference between organizational performance and monthly income

H07:Thereisnosignificant difference between organizational performance and size of organization. H08:

Thereisnosignificantrelationship between corporate governance and organizational performance.

Methodology

Thesurveyresearchdesignwasadopted. The data for present study was collected through the administration of two structured corporate governance and organizational performance questionnaire. With the application of simple random sampling method, the questionnaire was administered to 600respondents which were cut across employees of different private Information Technology organizations situated in the capital of of India, Delhi. Out which 455 questionnaires filled, were returned analyzed.Datawerecollectedthroughclosedendedstatementsinbothquestionnaires.A5-pointLikertscaleof1-5whichrangesfrom "stronglydisagree" to "stronglyagree" were employed to identify the responses.

DataanalysisandInterpretation

To fulfil the first objective of the study the data was analyzed by employing descriptive and inferential statistical tools and techniques. Descriptive statistics described the important properties of the data using the measures the central tendency like mean and the measures of dispersion like standard deviation.

And inferential statistics made inference from the sample data using Oneway ANOV And Pearson correlation analysis technique.

Followingtable2presentsthedemographicprofileofemployeesemployedindifferentprivateInformationTe chnologyorganizationssituatedinthecapitalofIndia,Delhi,whichincludesAge,Gender,MaritalStatus,Designa tion,WorkExperience,Monthlyincome,Age,Gender,MaritalStatus.

Table2:SummaryofDemographicprofileofFacultymembersunderstudy

S.No.	DemographicVariable	Numberof	Mean	Standard
		Respondents		Deviation
1	Designation			
	Entrylevel	357	37.72	11.92
	Executivelevel	98	38.98	8.31
2	WorkExperience			
	Upto5Years	111	37.34	12.91
	5to10Years	100	36.07	12.36
	10to15Years	88	38.09	10.95
	15to20Years	114	40.93	7.61

	More than 20 Years	42	39.51	7.66
3	Monthlyincome			
	UptoRs.20,000	118	38.80	8.61
	Rs.20,001to50,000	137	37.83	12.92
	Rs.50,001to70,000	49	38.37	8.63
	Rs.70,001to90,000	18	41.83	8.97
	Rs.90,001to1,10,000	64	33.86	14.06
_	MorethanRs.1,10,000	69	40.92	7.84
4	Age			
	Upto24Years	22	35.31	5.36
<u> </u>	25to35Years	173	38.08	10.98
	36to45Years	125	42.11	6.04
	46to55Years	111	37.25	12.09
	More than 56 Years	15	39.63	9.91
5	Gender			
	Male	321	37.64	11.47
_	Female	134	39.86	8.74
6	MaritalStatus			
	Married	322	38.86	10.06
	Unmarried	114	37.77	11.61
	Divorced	19	35.50	11.50
7	Sizeoforganization			
	1-100	87	23.01	5.75
	100-200	233	31.12	7.75
	200-300	113	27.62	7.00
	Morethan300	22	17.49	4.25

Source: Authors compilation from primary data

The variables used in the study to assess the influence of corporate governance and organizational performance are divided into two dimensions: Corporate Governance (CG):- Ethical behavior (EB), Ethical

leader ship (EL) and Corporate Governance mechanism (CGM); Organizational performance

(OP):- Employee engagement (EE), Customer satisfaction(CS)andOrganization Reputation (OR).Followingtable3displaysthedescriptivestatisticsofCorporateGovernanceanditsdimensions:

Table 3: Descriptive statistics of Corporate Governance and its Dimensions

Dimensions	N	Mean	Standard
			Deviation
EB	455	2.10	0.52
EL	455	2.05	0.97
CGM	455	2.35	0.58

Source: Authors compilation

Note:EB=Ethicalbehaviour,EL=Ethicalleadership,CGM=CorporateGovernance mechanism;N=Numberofrespondents

From the table 1presented above it can be observed thatCorporateGovernance mechanism (CGM)hasthehighestmeanvalueof2.35an S.D.of 0.58whichimpliesthatit hasthemostinfluentialdimensionin enhancing the performance of the organization. A good corporate governance framework will lead to to internal discipline, account ability and transparency. ProperCorporateGovernance mechanism will strengthen the relationships between board members and the company's shareholders; and the companyand other stakeholders such as employees, creditors and customers. Next influential dimensions were Ethical behaviour (mean = 2.10) followed by Ethical leadership (mean = 2.05).

Nextfollowingtable4displaysthedescriptivestatisticsofOrganizationalperformanceanditsdimensions:

Table4:DescriptivestatisticsofOrganizationalperformanceanditsDimensions

Dimensions	N	Mean	Standard
			Deviation
EE	455	2.70	0.67
CS	455	2.35	0.58
OR	455	2.20	0.55

Source: Authors compilation

Note:EE=Employeeengagement,CS=CustomersatisfactionandOR=OrganizationReputation;N = Number of respondents

rumocrof respondents

From the table 2 presented above it can be observed that Employee engagement (EE) has the highestmean value of 2.70 and S.D. of 0.67 which implies that it has the most influential dimension in enhancingthe performance of the organization. Engaging employees is critical for retaining valuable talent as disengaged employees are more likely to hamper the organizational performance. Corporate GovernanceCode must add employee engagement to the heart of the agenda for companies' board of directors. Nextinfluential dimensions were Customer satisfaction (mean= 2.35) followed by Organization Reputation(2.20).

• Analyzingthesignificantdifferencebetweenorganizationalperformanceanddemographicsvariables Theresultsofvarianceanalysis(ANOVA)ofthesignificantdifferencebetweenorganizationalperformanceandd emographic variables are given inTable5. These results establish the following interrelationship:

Table 5: One-way ANOVA for evaluating the significant difference between organizational performance and demographic variables

DemographicVariable	Numberof	Mean	Standard	F-value	pvalue
	Respondents		Deviation		
Designation		1		-	
Entrylevel	357	37.72	11.92	0.500	0.000
Executivelevel	98	38.98	8.31		
WorkExperience			l		
Upto5Years	111	37.34	12.91	9.734	0.014
5to10Years	100	36.07	12.36		
10to15Years	88	38.09	10.95		
15to20Years	114	40.93	7.61		
More than 20 Years	42	39.51	7.66		
Monthlyincome		I	l		
UptoRs.20,000	118	38.80	8.61	2.671	0.013
Rs.20,001to50,000	137	37.83	12.92		
Rs.50,001to70,000	49	38.37	8.63		

Rs.70,001to90,000	18	41.83	8.97		
Rs.90,001to1,10,000	64	33.86	14.06		
MorethanRs.1,10,000	69	40.92	7.84		
Age				ı	L
Upto24Years	22	35.31	5.36	6.896	0.032
25to35Years	173	38.08	10.98		
36to45Years	125	42.11	6.04		
46to55Years	111	37.25	12.09		
More than 56 Years	15	39.63	9.91		
Gender					
Male	321	38.64	11.47	58.984	0.781
Female	134	39.86	8.74		
MaritalStatus					
Married	322	38.86	10.06	0.619	0.589
Unmarried	114	37.77	11.61		
Divorced	19	35.50	11.50		
Sizeoforganization					
1-100	87	23.01	5.75	4.005	0.000
100-200	233	31.12	7.75		
200-300	113	27.62	7.00		
Morethan300	22	17.49	4.25		

Note:Levelofsignificanceisat5%

AgeandOrganizationalperformance:

H01: The reis no significant difference between organizational performance and age

The age of employees reported significant difference with organizational performance (F=0.500; p-value= 0.000; p<0.05). Employee in age bracket of 36 – 45 years indicate highest mean with 42.11 whichindicates that these employees have major influence on organizational performance.

GenderandOrganizationalperformance:

H02: Thereisnosignificant difference between organizational performance and gender

Gender of employees had non-significant difference with organizational performance (F= 59.984; p-value=0.781;p>0.05). With a minor difference in the mean values of both the genders reveals that both

maleandfemalehavemajorroleininfluencingorganizationalperformance.

MaritalstatusandOrganizationalperformance:

H03: There is no significant difference between organizational performance and marital status Marital status of various level of employees had non-significant difference with organizational performance (F=0.619; p-value=0.589; p>0.05).

Designation and Organizational performance:

H04: Thereisnosignificant difference between organizational performance and designation Designationo femployees had a significant difference with organizational performance (F=0.500; p-value=0.000; p<0.05). This indicates that executive level employee (mean=37.72) has more influence on organizational performance than entry level employees (mean=38.98).

WorkexperienceandOrganizationalperformance:

H05: There is no significant difference between organizational performance and Work experienceWork experience reported a significant difference with organizational performance (F= 9.734; p-

value=0.014;p<0.05). The examination of means cores further reveal that employees possessing work experience of 15-20 years have major influence on organizational performance. This result is in accordance with earlier found studies by Kolzet al. (1998)that experienced employees have better jobperformancebecauseoftheirin-

depthknowledgeofthetasksrequiredtobedone. The longer an employee stays with the organization, the more sense of belongingness they develop with the organization, which his reflected in their enhanced organization alperformance.

MonthlyincomeandOrganizationalperformance:

H06: There is no significant difference between organizational performance and Monthly income Monthly income of employees had a significant difference with organizational performance (F= 2.671; p-value= 0.013; p<0.05). Employee earning a monthly income of Rs. 70,001 to 90,000 indicated (mean=41.83) a majorroleinaffectingthe organizational performance.

SizeoforganizationandOrganizationalperformance:

H07: Thereisnosignificant difference between organizational performance and Size of organization. The size of organization indicated a significant difference with organizational performance (F= 4.005; p-value= 0.000; p<0.05). The mean scores (31.12) further reveal that organizations comprising of 100-200 employee have more influence on organizational performance than compared to their sizes of organizations. Thus, in summary, the results of first objective reveal that age, designation, work experience, monthly

income and size of organization have a major role in influencing the organization alper formance levels.

• Relationshipbetweencorporategovernanceandorganizationalperformance

ToassessthesecondobjectiveofthestudyPearsonCorrelationanalysiswasdone tostudywhetherthereisanassociaterelationshipbetweencorporategovernanceandorganizationalperformance.

Table6:PearsonCorrelationAnalysisMatrix

	EB	EL	CGM	EE	CS	OR	CG	OP
EB	1							
EL	0.219**	1						
CGM	-0.343**	0.452**	1					
EE	-0.316**	0.416**	0.702**	1				
CS	-0.342**	0.171**	0.290**	0.367**	1			
OR	0.413**	-0.922**	0.412**	0.282**	0.246**	1		
CG	0.622**	0.290**	0.017**	-0.011**	0.333**	0.702**	1	
OP	0.037**	0.225**	0.886**	0.761**	0.034**	0.132**	0.533**	1

^{**}Correlationissignificantatthe0.01level(2-tailed);N=455

Further, the results of correlation matrix revealed that all the dimensions of Corporate Governanceweresignificantly associated with Organizational Performance. Highest correlation was found with 'Corporate Governance Mechanism' (CGM) (r = .886, p < .05) as Pearson r. is close to 1 which concludes that there is a strong positive relationship between Corporate Governance Mechanism and Organizational Performance. Further the lowest weak correlation was found with 'Organizational Reputation' (OR)(r = .132, p < .05). Lastly according to the table 3, there is a strong positive relationship between

CorporateGovernanceandOrganizationalPerformance(r=0.533,p<0.05).Henceitcanbeinferredandconclude dthattheadoptionofsoundcorporategovernancepracticesenhancestransparencyofcompany's operations, ensures accountability, engagement and contributes to effective organizationalperformance.

$\bullet \quad Corporate Governance practices that enhances Organizational Performance$

InIndian corporate sector it is indeed a proud moment. Around 12Indian companies have featured in the Forbes list of the worlds 2,000 best regarded firms. Infosys. TCS, Tata Motors secured the 31st,35th and 70th ranks, respectively. Other Indian biggies like Tata Steel, L&T, Grasim, GIC, Mahindra &Mahindra,AsianPaints,SAILandITCaresomeoftheothercompanies who have made it to this

prestigious list. HDFC is the only company from the banking and financial sector to have attained apositioninthislist.

Everyorganizationshouldfollowbestpracticesforcorporategovernance. Bestpractices applysimilarly to new associations as they do to established ones. Best practices for corporate administrationapply to large scale organizations, small scale organizations, public organizations and privately ownedbusinesses. They even apply to non-profit associations and different entities. Companies that grasp bestrehearsesforadministration consistently pushtoward long haulmanageability

The primary best practices include building a competent board, aligning strategies with goals, being accountable, having a high level of ethics and integrity, defining roles and responsibilities, and managing risk effectively.

Integrity Responsibility Respect Equity Confidentiality Fairness Accountability Honesty Recognition Effectiveness Loyalty Efficiency Transparency Non-discrimination Trusteeship Non-exploitation Privacy

Figure 1: Corporate governance Practices

InIndia,thegovernment owns,controlsand monitors the interests in major sectors,comprising ofinfrastructure,oil, gas, mining,and manufacturing sectors. Over the decades, the GovernmentofIndiahas taken an assortment of steps to boost the performance of different sector organizations by means ofbettercorporate governance. (Som, 2013).

ConclusionandRecommendations

In India, corporate governance practices are just a little over a decade old. In conclusion, presentstudyhasgivenusanin-depthunderstandingoftheinfluenceof

corporategovernanceonorganizational performance and the best practices that aid in enhancing corporate governance. The demographic variables and corporate governance dimensions listed have proven to have a positive effect to the organization performance

levels. This indicates that every variable in this dataset has an important implication on the growth and development of different business organizations. Therefore, adoption of the contraction of the

sound corporate governance practices by corporate bodies will enhance corporate growth which is ofinterest to allstakeholders. Finally, this study proclaims that corporate governance help guard bothcorporate entities and employees in building the trust of its stakeholders and addressing ethical issuesthreateningorganizations.

In the light of the above, the following recommendations were made: Boards should have a compositionthat integrates all of the necessary skills and abilities to make sound decisions for the corporation. Aneffective strategyprocess which includes stakeholdervalue. Proper monitoring of legal compliance. Organizations can have corporate governance training which can help board members to identify

thestrategies, structures and processes that make boards the powerful force behind their organization triumph.

This type of systemic understanding will support researchers to discover further into the area of corporategovernance research.

References

Benz, M., & Frey, B.S. (2007). Corporate governance: what can we learn from public governance?. *Academy of Management Review*, 32(1), pp. 92-104.

CadburyReport(1992)-ReportoftheCommitteeontheFinancialAspectsofCorporateGovernance.

Dombin, N.A. (2012). Businessethics: Acatalyst for rapide conomic growth. *Journal of Educational and Social Research*, 2(10), pp. 30-37.

Farhan.A., Annuar.H, & Obid.S. (2017). Corporate Governance Effecton Firms' Performance: Evidence from the UAE. Emerald Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences, 33(1), pp. 66-80.

Heenetigala, K. (2011). Corporate Governance Practices and Firm Performance of Listed Companies in Sri Lanka.

Holmstrom, B., and Kaplan, S. (2001). Corporate governance and mergeractivity in United States: Making sense of the 1980 sand 1990 s. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 15(2), pp. 121-144.

JohnsonS,BooneP,BreachA.,&FriedmanE.(2000).CorporategovernanceintheAsianfinancialcrisis.*JFinanc Econ*, 58(1–2),pp. 141–186.

Kaur, H. (2012). A Comparative Study of Corporate Governance Disclosure by Private and Public Sector Banksin India. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 2(2).

Kolz, A.R., Mcfarland, L.A. & Silverman, S.B. (1998). Cognitive ability and job experience as predictors

ofworkperformance. *The Journal of Psychology*, 132, pp. 539-548.

Kostyuk. A., & Govorun. D. (2018). Corporate governance, ownership and control: A review of recentscholarlyresearch. Corporate Board: Role, Duties & Composition, 14(1), pp. 50-56.

OECD (1999).OECD Principles of CorporateGovernance, Organization forEconomic CooperationandDevelopmentParis.

Oladele, J. K. (2010). Effect of Ethical Behaviour on Organizational Performance: evidence from threeServiceOrganizationsInLagosNigeria. *JournalofResearchinNationalDevelopment*, Federal University of Technology.

Percy, I. (1994). Principles of corporate governance and the public services. London: British CharteredInstituteof PublicFinance andAccountancy.

Ponemon, L., & Michaelson, C. (2000). Ethics at the Core: Perspectives - *Pricewaterhouse Coopers*, (2),pp.4-9.

Post, J. E., Preston, L. E., & Sachs, S. (2002). Redefining the corporation: Stakeholder management andorganizationalwealth.Stanford[CA]:Stanford UniversityPress.

Primeaux,P&Stiebor,J.(1994)ProfitMaximization: theethical mandate ofbusiness. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 13 (4)pp.287-294.

Rezaee, Z. (2009), Corporate Governance and Ethics, John Wiley & Sons, Inc, USA.

Ryan, C.M., & Ng, C. (2000). Public sector corporate governance disclosures: an examination of annual reporting practices in Queensland. *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, 59(2), pp. 11-23.

Saeidi.R., Saeidi, S.P., & Bidi, R.E. (2012). A model to improving company performance through businesse thics. *International Journal of social science economics and Art*, 1(2), pp.2-19.

SOM,L.(2013).CorporateGovernanceofPublicSectorEnterprisesinIndia.Money&Finance,ICRABulletin,(June), pp.53–76.

Thapar.M.,&Sharma.A.(2017).CorporategovernanceinIndia:AnAnalysis.*JournalofEconomicandSocialDeve lopment*,4(1),pp.81-87.

Tricker, R.I. (1984). Corporate governance: practices, procedures and powers in British companies and their board of directors. Vermont: Gower Publishing Company.

Wallace, J., Hunt, J., & Richards, C.(1999). The

 $Relationship between Organisational Culture, Organizational Climate and Managerial Values. {\it International Journal of Public Sector Management}.$

Journal of Vibration Engineering(1004-4523) | Volume 24 Issue 1 2024 | www.jove.science

12(7)pp.548-64.

Yilmaz.C.(2016).ImpactsofCorporateGovernanceonFirmPerformance:TurkeyCasewithaPanelDataAnalysi s. *EurasianJournalofEconomics andFinance*, 4(1),pp.56-72.