

# Journal of Vibration Engineering

ISSN:1004-4523

Registered



**SCOPUS** 



DIGITAL OBJECT IDENTIFIER (DOI)



**GOOGLE SCHOLAR** 



**IMPACT FACTOR 6.1** 



# Implementing Prediction Model using ArtificialIntelligenceandDeepLearning

 ${}^{[1]} Sathe Amol Vaijinath, Assistant Professor Department of Mechanical Engineering, MMITP une \\ {}^{[2]} A diti Jadhav, Assistant Professor Department of ITMGMUniversity, Aurangabad.$ 

### Abstract

Profoundlearningmodelsrepresentanotherlearningworldviewinman-madeconsciousness(man-madeintelligence) and AI. Ongoing advancement brings about picture examination and discourse acknowledgement have created a gigantic interest in this field on the grounds that likewise applications innumerous different spaces giving huge information appear to be conceivable. On a disadvantage, the numerical and computational procedure hidden profound learning models is exceptionally difficult, particularly for interdisciplinary researchers. Consequently, we present in this paper a starting audit of profound learning approaches including Profound Feedforward Brain Organizations (D-

FFNN), Convolutional Brain Organizations (CNNs), Profound Conviction Organizations (DBNs), Autoencoders (AEs), and Long Transient Memory (LSTM) organizations. These models structure the significant centerdesigns of profound learning models right now utilized and ought to have a place in any information researcher's tool kit. Significantly, those center structural structure blocks can be formed deftly — in an early Lego-like way — to fabricate new application-explicit organization models. Thus, an essential comprehension of these organization models is vital to be ready for future improvements in computer based in telligence.

Keywords: deep learning, artificial intelligence, machine learning, neural networks, prediction models, datascience

### 1.INTRODUCTION

We are residing in the large information period where all areas of science and industry create giganticmeasures of information. This goes up against us with phenomenal difficulties in regards to theirexamination and understanding. Thus, there is a critical requirement for novel AI and computerizedreasoning techniques that can help in using these information. Profound learning (DL) is a particularlyoriginal philosophy right now getting a lot of consideration (Hinton et al., 2006). DL portrays

a group oflearningcalculationsasopposedtoasolitarystrategythatcanbeutilizedtolearncomplexexpectationmode ls,e.g.,multi-facetbrainnetworkswithmanysecretunits(LeCunetal.,2015).Critically,profoundlearning has been effectively applied to a few application issues. For example, a profound learningstrategy set the standard for the order of manually written digits of the MNIST informational collectionwitha blunder paceof0.21% (Wanetal., 2013).

Furtherapplicationregionsincorporatepictureacknowledgment(Krizhevskyetal.,2012a;LeCunetal.,2015), discourse acknowledgment (Graves et al., 2013), normal language getting it (Sarikaya et al.,2014), acoustic displaying (Mohamed et al., 2011) and computational science (Leung et al., 2014;Alipanahietal.,2015;ZhangS.etal.,2015;Smolanderetal.,2019a,b).Modelsoffakebrainnetworks

have been utilized since about the 1950s (Rosenblatt, 1957); in any case, the ongoing rush of profoundlearning brain networks began around 2006 (Hinton et al., 2006). A typical quality of the numerous varieties of managed and unaided profound learning models is that these models have many

ofstowedawayneuronslearned,e.g.,byaConfinedBoltzmannMachine(RBM)inmixwithBackpropagation and mistake slopes of the Stochastic Inclination Plunge (Riedmiller and Braun, 1993).Because of the heterogeneity of profound learning approaches a complete conversation is extremelydifficult,andhence,pastsurveysfocusedoncommittedsub-

points.Forexample,a10,000footperspective without itemized clarifications can be found in LeCun et al. (2015), a notable synopsis withmany itemized references in Schmidhuber(2015) and surveys about application spaces, e.g., pictureexamination (Rawat and Wang, 2017; Shen et al., 2017), discourse acknowledgment (Yu and Li, 2017),normallanguage handling(Youthful etal.,2018), andbiomedicine (Caoet al.,2018).

Interestingly, our audit focuses on a middle level, giving likewise specialized subtleties normally precluded. Given the interdisciplinary interestin profound realizing, which is important for information science (Emmert-Streib and Dehmer, 2019a), this makes it more straightforward for individuals new to the field to begin. The subjects we chose are centered around the center procedure of profound learning approaches including Profound Feedforward Brain Organizations (DFFNN), Convolutional Brain Organizations (CNNs), Profound Conviction Organizations (DBNs), Autoencoders (AEs), and Long Transient Memory (LSTM) or ganizations. Further organization designs which we examine helpin under standing these center methodologies.

The historical backdrop of brain networks is long, and many individuals have contributed toward theirimprovement throughout the long term. Given the new blast of interest in profound learning, it isn't isactually to be expected that the task of credit for key advancements isn't uncontroversial. In theaccompanying, we were focusing on a fair show featuring just the most recognized commitments. In1943, the principal numerical model of a neuron was made by McCulloch and Pitts (1943). This modelpointed toward giving a theoretical plan to the working of a neuron without impersonating thebiophysical component of a genuine TABLE 1 | An outline of regularly involved enactment capabilities for neuron models. Initiation capability  $\phi(x)$   $\phi'(x)$  Values Exaggerated digression  $\tanh(x) = e x - e - x ex + e - x 1 - \phi(x) 2 (-1, 1)$  Sigmoid  $S(x) = 11 + e - x \phi(x)(1 - \phi(x)) (0, 1)$  ReLu R(x) = (0 for x < 0 x) for  $x \ge 0$  (Ofor x < 0.1 for  $x \ge 0$  [0, $\infty$ ) Heaviside capability R(x) = (0 for x < 0.1 for  $x \ge 0.1$  for  $x \ge$ 

-yj (0, 1) organic neuron. It is intriguing to take note of that this model didn't think about learning. In1949, the first thought regarding naturally spurred learning in quite a while was presented by Hebb(1949). Hebbianlearning isatypeofunaided learning of brainorganizations.

In 1957, the Perceptron was presented by Rosenblatt (1957). The Perceptron is a solitary layer brainnetwork filling in as a straight double classifier. In the cutting edge language of ANNs, a Perceptroninvolvesthe Heavisidecapability as an enactment capability.

In 1960, the Delta Learning rule for learning a Perceptron was presented by Widrow and Hoff (1960). The Delta Learning rule, otherwise called Widrow and Hoff Learning rule or the Most un-Mean Squarerule, is an inclination plummet learning rule for refreshing the loads of the neurons. It is a uniqueinstance of the backpropagation calculation  $N_0$ : 2

In 1968, a strategy called Gathering Technique for Information Taking care of (GMDH) for preparingbrain networks was presented by Ivakhnenko (1968). These organizations are broadly viewed as theprincipal profound learning organizations of the Feedforward Multifacet Perceptron type. For example, the paper (Ivakhnenko, 1971) utilized a profound GMD H network with 8 layers. Strangely, the quantities of layers and units per layer could be gained and we renot fixed all along.

In 1969, a significant paper by Minsky and Papert (1969) was distributed which demonstrated the waythatthe XOR issue can't be advancedbya Perceptronin light ofthefact that it is n't straightly distinguishable. This set off a respite stage for brain networks called the "Computer based in telligence winter."

In 1974, blunder backpropagation (BP) has been recommended to use in brain organizations (Werbos,1974)forlearningtheweightedinamanagedwayandappliedinWerbos(1981).Bethatasitmay,thea ctualstrategyismore seasoned (seee.g., Linnainmaa, 1976).

In1980,avariousleveledcomplexbrainnetworkforvisualexampleacknowledgmentcalledNeocognitronwa spresentedbyFukushima(1980).AftertheprofoundGMDHorganizations(seeover),the Neocognitron is viewed as the second counterfeit NN that merited the characteristic profound. Itpresented convolutional NNs (today called CNNs). The Neocognitron is basically the same as theengineering of current, managed, profound Feedforward Brain Organizations (D-FFNN) (Fukushima, 2013).

In 1982, Hopfield presented a substance addressable memory brain organization, these days called Hopfield Organization (Hopfield, 1982). Hopfield Organizations are a model for intermittent brainorganizations.

In 1986, backpropagation returned in a paper by Rumelhart et al. (1986). They showed tentatively that this learning calculation can produce valuable interior portrayals and, thus, be useful for general brainnetworklearning undertakings.

In 1987, Terry Sejnowski presented the NETtalk calculation (Sejnowski and Rosenberg, 1987). Theprogramfiguredouthowto articulateEnglishwordsandhadtheoptiontoworkonoverthelonghaul.

In1989,aConvolutionalBrainOrganizationwaspreparedwiththebackpropagationcalculationtolearnwritte nbyhanddigits(LeCunetal.,1989).Acomparativeframeworkwassubsequentlyusedtoperusetranscribed checks and postal divisions, handling traded looks at the US in the last part of the 90s andmid 2000s. Note: During the 1980s, the second rush of brain network research arose by and large bymeansofadevelopmentcalledconnectionism(FodorandPylyshyn,1988).Thiswavewentonuntilthemid 1990s.

In 1991, Hochreiter concentrated on a key issue of any profound learning organization, which connectswith the issue of not being teachable with the back engendering calculation (Hochreiter, 1991). Hisreview uncovered that the sign spread by back engendering either diminishes or increments without limits. In the event of a rot, this is corresponding to the profundity of the organization. This is currently known as the evaporating or detonating slope issue.

In 1992, a first halfway solution for this issue has been proposed by Schmidhuber (1992). The thoughtwastopre-

trainaRNNinanunaidedmannertospeedupresultingdirectedlearning. The concentrated on network hadinexcess of 1,000 layers in the repetitive brain organization.

In 1995, oscillatory brain networks have been presented in Wang and Terman (1995). They have beenutilized in different applications like picture and discourse division and creating complex time series(Wang and Terman, 1997; Hoppensteadt and Izhikevich, 1999; Wang and Brown, 1999; Soman et al.,2018).

In 1997, the principal managed model for learning RNN was presented by Hochreiter and Schmidhuber(1997), which was called Long Transient Memory (LSTM). A LSTM forestalls the rotting mistake

signal is sue between layers by making the LSTM organizations "recollect" data for a more drawn out time frame and the content of the conte

In1998,theStochasticSlopeDropcalculation(gradientbasedlearning)wasjoinedwiththebackpropagation calculation for further developing learning in CNN (LeCun et al., 1989). Thus, LeNet-5,a7-levelconvolutionalnetwork,was presentedforgroupingtranscribednumbers onchecks.

In2006,isbroadlyviewedasaleadingedgeyearonthegroundsthatinHintonetal.(2006)itwasshownthat brain networks called Profound Conviction Organizations can be proficiently prepared by utilizingamethodologycalledeagerlayer-wisepre-

preparing. This started the third flood of brainnetworks that utilized the term profound learning famous.

In 2012, Alex Krizhevsky won the ImageNet Huge Scope Visual Acknowledgment Challenge by utilizingAlexNet, a Convolutional Brain Organization using a GPU and enhanced LeNet5 (see above) (LeCun etal., 1989). This achievement began a convolutional brain network renaissance in the profound learninglocalarea (see Neocognitron).

In 2014, generative antagonistic organizations were presented in Goodfellow et al. (2014). Thethought is that two brain networks contend with one another in a game-like way. Generally speaking, this lays out a generative model that can create new information. This has been classified "the coolesthought in Alover themost recent 20 years" by Yann Le Cun.

In 2019, Yoshua Bengio, Geoffrey Hinton, and Yann LeCun were granted the Turing Grant forcalculated and designing leap forwards that have made profound brain networks a basic part offiguring.

### ArchitecturesOfNeuralNetworks

Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) are are numerical models that have been spurred by the working ofthe cerebrum. In any case, the models we examine in the accompanying don't target giving organicallyreasonablemodels. Allthings being equal, there as on for these models is to dissect information.

### ModelofanArtificialNeuron

A model of a neuron is the fundamental component of any neural network. A neuron model'sfundamentaltenetis thatan input, x,together with abias, b, areweighted by,w,andthencombined.

### **FeedforwardNeuralNetworks**

The neurons must be linked to one another in order to create neural networks (NNs). The most basicshallowanddeeparchitectureforaNNisafeedforwardstructure.Ingeneral,anetwork'sdepthreferstot heamountofnonlineartransformationsthatoccurbetweenitsseparatinglayers,whereasahiddenlayer'sbre adth refers to the dimensionality of itshiddenneurons.

### RecurrentNeuralNetworks

Two subclasses of the Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) model family may be distinguished based onhow they handle signals. IERNs (infinite impulse recurrent networks) are used in the first and second,respectively (IIRNs). The difference is that a FRN is given by a directed acyclic graph (DAG), which maybe unrolled over time and replaced with a feedforward neural network, as opposed to an IIRN, whichisa directed cyclic graph(DCG) forwhichsuch unrolling is notpractical.

### DeepFeedforwardNeuralNetworks

It is shown that a feedforward neural network with one hidden layer and a small number of neuronsmay approximateeverycontinuous function on compactsubset of Rn. (Hornik, 1991). This issupported by the universal approximation theorem. The learning of such a network proved to be quitedifficultandisnotcovered by the universal approximation theorem. This calls for the usage of an FFNN with his everal hidden layers. Another is such that makes learning such networks difficult is that their widths could increase rapidly. It's noteworthy to note that FFNNs with several hidden layers and a limited number of hidden neurons may also prove the universal approximation theorem (Lu et al., 2017). DFFNNs are thus favoured over (shallow) FFNNs in practical applications due to their superior learnability.

# ConvolutionalNeuralNetworks

A convolutional neural network (CNN) is a special kind of feedforward neural network thatusesconvolution, ReLU, and pooling layers. Atypical CNN is composed of layers from the Feedforward Neural Network family, including convolution, pooling, and fully connected layers. Each connection between neurons in one layer and neurons in the layer above it often acts as a network parameter in convention al ANNs. This might lead to a very high number of parameters. Instead of using entirely linked layers, a CNN uses local connections between neurons, which means that a neuron is only connected to neighbouring neurons in the next layer. As a result, the total number of parameters in the network may be significantly reduced. Also, each link between local receptive fields and neurons makes use of a set of the neuron neuron neurons and the next layer.

ofweights. This group of weights is referred to as a kernel. All of the other neurons that connect to their local receptive fields will exchange the results of the secomputations between the local receptive fields and neurons using the same kernel, which will be stored in a matrix known as an activation map. The sharing attribute is known as CNN weight sharing (Le Cun, 1989). As a consequence, different kernels will generate distinct activation maps, and the number of kernels may be altered using hyperparameters. As a result, regardless of the total number of connections between the neurons, the size of the local receptive field, or the kernel, determines the overall number of weights in a network.

Table1Listofpopulardeeplearningmodels,availablelearningalgorithms(unsupervised,supervised)

Model	Unsupervised	Supervised	Software
Autoencoder	Yes		Keras (Chollet, 2015), R: dimRed (Kraemeretal.,2018),h2o(Candeletal.,2015) ,RcppDL(Kou andSugomori, 2014)
ConvolutionalDeepBelief Network(CDBN)	Yes	Yes	R&python:TensorFlow(Abadietal.,2016),Ke ras(Chollet,2015),h2o(Candeletal., 2015)
Convolutional Neural Network(CNN)	Yes	Yes	R & python: Keras (Chollet, 2015) MXNet(Chenetal.,2015),Tensorflow(Abadie tal.,2016),h2O(Candeletal.,2015),fastai(pyt hon)(HowardandGugger,2018)
Deep Belief Network(DBN)	Yes	Yes	RcppDL(R)(KouandSugomori,2014),python: Caffee(Jiaetal., 2014),Theano(TheanoDevelopmentTeam,2 016),Pytorch (Paszke et al., 2017), R & python:TensorFlow(Abadietal.,2016),h2O( Candeletal.,2015)
Deep Boltzmann Machine(DBM)		Yes	python: boltzmann- machines(Bondarenko,2017),pydbm(Chim era,2019)
Denoising Autoencoder (dA)	Yes		python: boltzmann- machines(Bondarenko,2017),pydbm(Chim era,2019)
Longshort-term memory(LSTM)		Yes	rnn(R)(Quast,2016),OSTSC(R)(Dixonet al.,2017),Keras(Randpython) (Chollet,2015),Lasagne(python)(Dielemanet al.,

			2015),BigDL(python)(Daietal.,2018),Caffe(python)(Jiaet al.,2014)
Multilayer Perceptron (MLP)		Yes	SparkR(R)(Venkataramanetal.,2016),RSNN S (R) (Bergmeir and Benítez, 2012),keras(Randpython)(Chollet,2015),skl earn (python) (Pedregosa et al., 2011),tensorflow(Randpython)(Abadietal., 2016)
Recurrent Neural Network(RNN)		Yes	RSNNS (R) (Bergmeir and Benítez, 2012),rnn (R) (Quast, 2016), keras (R and python)(Chollet,2015)
Restricted Boltzmann Machine(RBM)	Yes	Yes	RcppDL(R)(KouandSugomori,2014),deepne t (R) (Rong, 2014), pydbm (python)(Chimera,2019),sklearn(python)(Chimera, 2019), Pylearn2 (Goodfellow etal., 2013), TheanoLM (Enarvi and Kurimo,2016)

### Fully-ConnectedLayer

The fundamental hidden layer unit in FFNN is a fully-connected layer. Fascinatingly, to better simulate the non-linear relationships of the input features, a fully connected layer is frequently placed between the penultimate layer and the output layer for standard CNN designs as well. Due to the numerous factors it introduces, which might result in overfitting, the value of this has lately been questioned (Hinton, 2014). In order to replace the function of linear layers, more and more researchers have be gunto build CNN architectures without requiring such a fully connected layer using different methods such as such as max-over-time pooling (Line tal., 2013; Kim, 2014).

### **ImportantVariantsofCNN**

The first study to examine how the depth of the network affects a CNN's performance was VGGNet(Hinton, 2014). The Visual Geometry Group and Google DeepMind proposed VGGNet, and it was used to study buildings in depth to 19 levels (e.g., compared to 11 for AlexNet Krizhevsky et al., 2012b). Byadding 11 extra convolution layers, VGG19 increased the network's eight weight layers (AlexNet'ssuggestedstructure)to19weight layers.

The overall number of parameters rose from 61 million to 144 million, but most of them are used bythe fully linked layer. According to their reported findings, the top-1 val error (percentage of times the classifier did not give the correct class with the highest score) on the ILSVRC dataset decreased from 29.6 to 25.5, and the top-5 val error (percentage of times the classifier did not include the correct class among its top5) on the ILSVRC dataset in ILSVRC 2014 decreased from 10.4 to 8.0.

## GoogLeNetWithInception

Adding extra layers and layer parameters is the most logical technique to increase a ConvolutionalNeural Network's performance (Hinton, 2014). Two significant issues will arise as a result, though. Oneis that overfitting will result from having too many parameters, and the other is that the model wouldbedifficult totrain.

Google introduced GoogLeNet (Szegedy et al., 2015). Traditional state-of-the-art CNN designs, prior totheinventionofinception, largely concentrated on expanding the size and depth of the neural network, which also raised the computing cost of the network. In contrast, Google LeNet unveiled a design that combines a lightweight network topology with state-of-the-art performance.

### ResNet

In theory, CNNs with deeper structures outperform those with shallower ones (Hinton, 2014). Deepernetworks should be more accurate predictors since they can represent high-level information from theinput more effectively (Donahue et al., 2014). But, adding further layers is not possible. The authors of the article (He et al., 2016) noted the phenomenon that adding more layers might actually degradeperformance.Intheirexperiment,networksAandBhadNlayerseach,whereastheinitialNlayershadt hesamestructure.NetworkBhadN+Mlayers.Surprisingly,networkBhadalargertrainingerrorthannetwork Bwhentrainedonthe CIFAR-10 and ImageNet datasets.

The addition of an additional M layers should, in principle, improve performance, but instead, theyreceived larger errors that cannot be attributed to overfitting. The cause of this is that, unlike thevanishinggradientphenomenon,thelossisbeingoptimisedtolocalminima. The deterioration problem is what we're talking about here (He et al., 2016).

In order to address CNNs' degrading issue and maximise CNN depth, ResNet (He et al., 2016) wasdeveloped. The authors of (He et al., 2016) developed a unique CNN structure that, in principle, couldbeextended to an unlimited depth without compromising accuracy.

### Conclusion

Weprovideda fundamental overviewofprofound learning models, such as DeepFeed ForwardNetworks(D-

FFNN),ConvolutionalNetworks(CNNs),DeepConvictionNetworks(DBNs),AutoEncoders(AE), and Long Short-Term Memory Networks (LSTMs). These models may be seen as the centralstructures that dominate profound learning at the moment. Also, we looked at related concepts likehardbackproliferationandconfinedBoltzmannmachinesthatarenecessaryforaspecialisttounderstand these models. The components of the centre compositional structure blocks explored inthisstudycanbeusedtoconstructaninfinitenumberofbrainnetworkmodelsduetotheversatilityoforganis ationdesignsallowinga"Lego-like"generation ofnewmodels.So, havingafundamentalunderstanding of these elements is vital if you want to be ready for future advancements in artificialintelligence.

### References

- [1] Alipanahi,B.,Delong,A.,Weirauch,M.T.,andFrey,B.J.(2015).PredictingthesequencespecificitiesofDN A-andRNA-bindingproteinsbydeeplearning.Nat.Biotechnol.33,831–838.doi:10.1038/nbt.3300
- [2] An,J.,andCho,S.(2015).VariationalAutoencoderBasedAnomalyDetectionUsingReconstructionProbability. SpecialLectureon IE2.
- [3] Arulkumaran, K., Deisenroth, M. P., Brundage, M., and Bharath, A. A. (2017). Deep reinforcementlearning:abriefsurvey.IEEESignalProcess.Mag.34,26—38.doi:10.1109/MSP.2017.2743240
- [4] Bergmeir, C., and Benítez, J. M. (2012). Neural networks in R using the stuttgart neural networksimulator:RSNNS.J.Stat. Softw.46,1–26. doi:10.18637/jss.v046.i07
- [5] Biran, O., and Cotton, C. (2017). "Explanation and justification in machine learning: a survey," inIJCAI-17 Workshopon Explainable AI(XAI).Vol.8,1.
- [6] Bottou, L. (2010). "Large-scale machine learning with stochastic gradient descent," in ProceedingsofCOMPSTAT'2010(Springer),177–186.
- [7] Breiman, L. (2001). Statistical modeling: the two cultures (with comments and a rejoinder by theauthor). Stat. Sci. 16, 199–231. doi: 10.1214/ss/1009213726
- [8] Cao, C., Liu, F., Tan, H., Song, D., Shu, W., Li, W., et al. (2018). Deep learning and its applications inbiomedicine. Genomics Proteomics Bioinform. 16,17–32. doi:10.1016/j.gpb.2017.07.003
- [9] Cao, S., Lu, W., and Xu, Q. (2016). "Deep neural networks for learning graph representations," inThirtiethAAAI Conferenceon ArtificialIntelligence.
- [10]Carreira-Perpinan, M. A., and Hinton, G. E. (2005). "On contrastive divergence learning," inProceedingsoftheTenthInternationalWorkshoponArtificialIntelligenceandStatistics(Citeseer),33—40.
- [11]Charles, A. S., Olshausen, B. A., and Rozell, C. J. (2011). Learning sparse codes for hyperspectralimagery.IEEEJ.Select.Top.SignalProcess.5,963— 978.doi:10.1109/JSTSP.2011.2149497
- [12] Chen, T., Li, M., Li, Y., Lin, M., Wang, N., Wang, M., et al. (2015). Mxnet: a flexible and efficient machine learning library for heterogeneous distributed systems. Chimera (2019). Pydbm. ar Xiv: 1512.01274.
- [13]Cho,K.,VanMerriënboer,B.,Gulcehre,C.,Bahdanau,D.,Bougares,F.,Schwenk,H.,etal.(2014).Learning phrase representations using rnn encoderdecoder for statistical machine translation.arXiv[Preprint]. arXiv:1406.1078. doi:10.3115/v1/D14-1179
- [14] Chollet, F. (2015). Keras. Available on lineat: <a href="https://github.com/fchollet/keras">https://github.com/fchollet/keras</a>
- [15]Cohen, G., Afshar, S., Tapson, J., and van Schaik, A. (2017). Emnist: an extension of mnist tohandwrittenletters.arXiv[Preprint].arXiv:1702.05373.doi:10.1109/IJCNN.2017.7966217
- [16]Dai, J., Wang, Y., Qiu, X., Ding, D., Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., et al. (2018). BigDL: a distributed deeplearningframework for bigdata. arXiv:1804.05839
- [17]Deng,J.,Zhang,Z.,Marchi,E.,andSchuller,B.(2013). "Sparseautoencoder-basedfeaturetransferlearning for speech emotion recognition," in 2013 Humaine Association Conference on AffectiveComputingandIntelligent Interaction (IEEE),511–516.
- [18] Dixon, M., Klabjan, D., and Wei, L. (2017). Ostsc: oversampling for time series classification in R.
- [19] Doersch, C. (2016). Tutorial on variational autoencoders. arXiv [Preprint]. arXiv: 1606.05908.

- [20]Donahue, J., Jia, Y., Vinyals, O., Hoffman, J., Zhang, N., Tzeng, E., et al. (2014). "Decaf: a deepconvolutional activation feature for generic visual recognition," in International Conference on Machine Learning, 647–655.
- [21]Doshi-
  - Velez, F., and Kim, B. (2017). Towards a rigorous science of interpretable machine learning. arXiv[Preprint]. arXiv:1702.08608.
- [22] Duda, R. O., Hart, P. E., and Stork, D.G. (2000). Pattern Classification. 2nd Edn. Wiley.
- [23]Elsayed,N., Maida,A. S., and Bayoumi, M.(2018). Reduced-gate convolutionalLSTMusingpredictivecodingforspatiotemporalprediction. arXiv[Preprint]. arXiv:1810.07251.
- [24]Emmert-Streib, F. (2006). A heterosynaptic learning rule for neural networks. Int. J. Mod. Phys. C17,1501–1520. doi:10.1142/S0129183106009916
- [25]Emmert-Streib,F.,andDehmer,M.(2019a).Definingdatasciencebyadata-drivenquantificationofthecommunity.Mach.Learn.Knowl.Extract.1,235–251.doi:10.3390/make1010015
- [26]Emmert-
  - Streib, F., and Dehmer, M. (2019b). Evaluation of regression models: model assessment, model selection and generalization error. Mach. Learn. Knowl. Extract. 1,521–551. doi:10.3390/make1010032
- [27]Enarvi, S., and Kurimo, M. (2016). TheanoLM—an extensible toolkit for neural network languagemodeling.Proc. Interspeech3052-3056doi:10.21437/Interspeech.2016-618
- [28] Esteva, A., Kuprel, B., Novoa, R.A., Ko, J., Swetter, S.M., Blau, H.M., et al. (2017). Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks. Nature 542:115. doi:10.1038/nature21056
- [29] Fischer, A., and Igel, C. (2012). "An introduction to restricted boltzmann machines," in Progress in Pattern Recognition, Image Analysis, Computer Vision, and Applications (Springer), 14–36.
- [30]Fodor, J. A., and Pylyshyn, Z. W. (1988). Connectionism and cognitive architecture: a criticalanalysis.Cognition28, 3–71.
- [31]Fukushima, K. (1980). Neocognitron: A self-organizing neural network model for a mechanism ofpatternrecognition unaffectedbyshiftin position.Biol. Cybernet.36,193–202.
- [32] Fukushima, K. (2013). Training multi-layered neural network neocognitron. Neural Netw. 40, 18–31.doi:10.1016/j.neunet.2013.01.001
- [33]Gers, F. A., and Schmidhuber, J. (2000). "Recurrent nets that time and count," in Proceedings of the IEEE-INNS-ENNS International Joint Conference Neural Networks. IJCNN 2000. Neural Computing: New Challenges and Perspectives for the New Millennium (IEEE), Vol. 3, 1 89–194.
- [34] Gers, F.A., Schmidhuber, J., and Cummins, F. (1999). Learning to forget: continual prediction with LSTM. Neural Comput. 12,2451–2471. doi:10.1162/089976600300015015.