

Journal of Vibration Engineering

ISSN:1004-4523

Registered



SCOPUS



DIGITAL OBJECT IDENTIFIER (DOI)



GOOGLE SCHOLAR



IMPACT FACTOR 6.1



POLICE FORCES AND SOCIETY: DESIGNING AND DEVELOPING ANETHICALLYTRANSFORMEDPOLICEORGANIZATION

Prof.A.SURYANARAYANA

Former
DeanFacultyofManage
ment
Osmania
UniversityHYDERABAD500007(TelanganaState)

Dr.B. MOHANKUMAR

Badruka College of Commerce & ArtsHYDERABAD-500027 (TelanganaState), INDIA

ABSTRACT

PoliceOfficialsare 'boundaryspanners' inthesensethatthey are commissioned to deal with several stakeholders of the society inwhichtheyoperate,toprotect,promote,andprojecttheirprincipalinterests.Someoftheinteractions between police, organizations and society are bumpy and controversial. Police and Society do notalways get along well with each other. Many social issues that involve police interventions and punitive action orremedial measures are almost always charged with emotion. A few of them seem to pit Police personnel andstakeholders of the society against one another in bitter struggles. While proceeding with the narrative, the reasonsfor these challenges and difficulties need to be addressed and answered especially from an ethical perspective. Eventhough the Police-and-Society interface is sometimes scarred by the brickbats hurled by the stakeholders on bothsides, we need to build the needed capacities to ethically deal with them and to cooperate smoothly. More often thannot—and certainly more often than the stakeholders of the general public realize—Police and Society collaborate insolving problems and ethical dilemmas that neither one acting alone could solve. The 'social relationships' are thekey to a more effective social role for Police organizations and are one way to increase ethically responsibleregulatory, punitive, andcorrectivepoliceinterventions. Administrative challenges that are typical of today's turbulent societies need to be analyzed broadly using ethical reasoning as a necessary preliminary. Later, a highdegree of social sophistication administration skill is needed bythe people working organizationsbeforetheymanagetofindethicallyacceptablewaystodealwiththechallengesandconcernsathand. Anattemp tis made in this 'concept paper' to combine the 'plot—"Ethics" with the 'central characters' of the Ecosystem of aPolice Organization to produce the "narrative" for the theme of the Article, which deals mainly with a complexrangeof Police-and-societyrelationships and ethical issues arising out of them.

Keywords: Police Organization; Police Culture; Ethically transformed organization; Whistleblower Protection; and Ethical Dilemmas.

I. INTRODUCTION

PoliceOrganizationsthatwishtodirecttheiroff icialsandfunctionariesinthesuccessfulpursuit ofthegoalsofPoliceforcemusttakeintoconside rationtheoverallecosystemofthebroadsociale nvironment.PoliceOfficers'decisions,policie s,andactions—

theirplansforthepresentandthefuture—theirstrategiesformulatedandtacticsadoptedin theachievementoftheoverallobjectives—allof these mustbeundertakeninwaysthatinclude and integrate major elements of thesocial and political world surrounding theiroperations. Weneedtoconceptualizeand

design framework for socially responsiveand responsible organizational behavior that suggests as to what is required itsothatagreaterunderstandingcanbeachieved. Such a chart can be used as anorienting and guide a road map for theadministrativeandmanagerialpersonnelwo rking in the organization wishing to gettotallytransformedusinganethicalreasonin g approach. Moreover, deliberatelychosen studies centering case particularthemeorissueinvolvingpoliceorgani zationsandtheirofficialscanbeeffectively used by anchoring them to oneparticular episode.

II. HOWTOBUILDANETHICAL LY

STRONGPOLICECULTURE?

Understandingpolicecultureanditssub-culture becomes an imperative before tryingto build ethically strong police culture. The police culture is an occupational phe where nomenon the officers collectivelyconfrontsituationsthatariseinthee nvironments of policing, and as a result, theattitudes, values, and norms that develop inresponse to those environments get built upasthepoliceculture. Elaborating on this furthe **Manning** (1995) and Kepler al.,(1998),andWestley(1970)andothertheori stshavesuggestedthatithasbeenpointed that in most of the occupationsthe members are confronted with numerousissues and problems through which sharedattitudes, values, and norms for the mitiga those issues and problems aredevelopedandthentransmittedacrossmemb ersandthisfinallygetsdiffusedacross the occupations and the organizationingeneral.

> PoliceSubculture:

- Theterm'policesubculture'isafocused partoftheorganizationalculture in the police which may referto strong feeling of group solidarityor defending 'us' from 'them', wherethe'us'isthepoliceand'them'co uldbeanygrouporindividualsmay it be criminals or all others whoarenot thepolice.
- Itisasetofinformalvaluesthatcharacter izethepoliceforcesasadistinctcommun itywithcommonidentity.
- It includes symbols, beliefs, values, attitudes, etc.
- "Subculture" is a culture within a broade r culture that may deviate in some aspects from the broader culture and influence the daily decisions (Herbert, 1998).

• Policecultureisthesumtotalofvariouss ubcultures.

> Artifacts

The artifacts are the most visible parts of theorganizationalcultureand include:

- Sounds, architecture, behavior, attire,language,products,andceremon ies.
- Police culture is in part transmittedand defined by certain artifacts.

Forexample,policerecruitsquicklylear n police jargon, how to addresssuperiors,howtocommunicate onthe radio, a writing style for policereports, and a host of other behaviorsuniqueto policing.

Another form of police artifact is thepatrol officer's uniform, which is asymbol of law and order and allowsmembersofthesocietytoreadilyi dentifyapoliceofficer.IntheIndiancont ext,somebeatofficerswrap a towel round their necks in aparticular way (in some of the Indianstates)advertisingtheirroleorim portance.Allnewentrantsalsoadaptthis style.

> 'US'and'THEM'Syndrome

The dangers associated with policing oftenprompt officers to distance themselves from the chiefsource of danger—

thecitizens. Thus, the police officers, who are sociallyisolated from the public, and rely on eachotherforprotectionfromahostileanddange rous work setting, tend to develop an"us versus them" attitude towards the publicand loyalty strong sense of towards fellowofficers (Terril, Paolin, & Manning, 2003). Apart, from being blamed for all thingswr ong, there is another factor for "US and Them" Syndrome. "Some believe that the pr ofessionalizationofthepolice(i.e.,removingpo liticsfrompolicing, scientificad vances and antipolicemisconductstrategies)hasbeenthecataly stforthisisolation and the strengthening of us-versus-

them'attitudeassociatedwiththe

policeculture". Therefore, whenever any issueh appens, the police closer and present an united front—"US".

> TheThin BlueLine

Yetanotherdistinctsubculturethatperpetuates the organization is feelingandbeliefthatpolicearetherealcrimefig hters. The thin line between order and chaos held by them. Once police withdrawfrom the scene, disorder will descend andchaos will prevail. In the 1950's Bill Parker, the Chief of LAPD, coined the term 'ThinBlue Line' to reinforce the role of LAPD infighting crime and maintaining order Los Angeles. The "thinkhakiline" is the corresp ondingtermusedinIndianpoliceforces.

➤ CodeofSilence

'CodeofSilence'isastrongsub-culturalethos in policing to denote the informal ruleof secrecy that exists among police officersnottoreportoncolleague'serrors,misco nduct, or brutality. Secrecy is a set ofworking tenets that loosely couple the policeto accountability that allow them to do theirwork and protect each other in the face ofoversightinterference(Caldero&Crank,200 Generally, 4). no police person speaksagainsthis/her colleague.

III. CHARACTERISTICSOFANE THICALLYTRANSFORMED ORGANIZATION

Anethicallytransformedorganizationhascerta in primary

distinguishingcharacteristicss uchasvalues, openness, objectivity and fairness, effective communication upward and downwards, sharing company's wealth, transparency, in tegrity, and trust. Some of the additional principal features of a transformed or ganization areas follows:

- 1. Itseesethicsasanendinitself.
- 2. Itexceedslegalrequirements.

- 3. It is highly sensitive to moraldilemmas.
- 4. Itisdrivenby 'mission' and 'valu es'.
- 5. It has very high levels of trustandcommitment.
- 6. Everyoneofitsdecisionsis'ethic s-driven'.
- 7. It equips the members of theorganizationtomakemoralchoices.
- 8. It takes into consideration allstakeholders.
- 9. Itinvestsresourcessignifica ntlyinactivitiessuchastrainingand development.

IV. HOW TO ETHICALLYTRANSFORMTH EPOLICE FORCE

Any police organization has to necessarilydesign, develop, and introduces everalinter ventions that are both compulsory and critical through four successive stages. They are:

- Stage-1: LeadingandSupport'byTopManag ement
- Stage-2:CodeofEthics
- Stage-3:EthicsTrainingPrograms
- Stage-4:RewardStructures

Management 'Top Support Leadership'The phrase 'Top Management Support andLeadership' states an imperative: Top PoliceBrassmustleadandactivelyfocusingona the transformation of supporting policeorganizationasanethicallydrivenone.Et hical Transformation of an organization ishard, serious business; it includes challenges, pain, and set backs as well as successes. The stew ardsattheupperechelonsofapoliceorganizatio nmustinitiate the transformation 'journey' and

becommitted to see in gitthrough. Most transition stowards and are expected to result in ethical or ganizational transformation fail to do so because to padministrators of the police force were ambivalent, lost their focus and

commitment, or became distracted with other challenges and duties.

(i) CodesofEthics

An'EthicsCode'describesthegeneralvaluesys tem, theethicalprinciples, and specificethicalru lesthatanorganizationtries to apply. These codes help employeesknow what is expected in ethical terms whenthey face an uncertain situation. Codes dovaryconsiderablyamongorganizations. Cod es are expected to be concerned aboutconflict of interest (where the organization's interests are harmed) and also with

actionsthatdirectlyaffectthepublicatlarge.Aco de'simpactonemployeebehaviorisweakened if its purpose is primarily to makethe company look good or if it is intended togive the top executives of the organization alegal defense when illegal or unethical committed by lower-ranking actsare employees. The most effective codes are those drawn upwiththecooperationandparticipationofemp loyeesandthosehavingspecificrewardsandpen altiesthatarespelledoutand enforced. It is believed generally that aselfdevelopedcodewillhelpimproveethicalbehavi orintheirrespectiveorganizations. Someothers believethatsupplementingcodeswithanemplo yeeadvisory committee to provide confidentialadvice to company personnel faced with anethical dilemmais helpful.

(ii) Ethics Training

Programs When Police Organizations frequently traintheir officers invarious aspects of effective policing, they are required to give them training in ethics also. As officers working at different levels of the police force are likely to encounter anethical question at work, they need to be trained in successfully addressing and dealing with such ethical dilemmas. These programs acquaint them with official organization alpolicy on ethical is sues and show how those policies can be translated into the specifics of every day decision making. Sometimes, simulated case

studies based on actual events in the policeforceareusedtoillustratehowtoapplyeth icalprinciplestoon-the-jobproblems.

(iii) RewardStructures

There are some optional interventions as wellsu chas the following:

- EthicsAudit
- WhistleblowerProtection
- Hotline
- Ethics Committees

(i) EthicsAudit

Anotherstepthattendstobuildethicalpractices into police establishments' regularroutines is institute periodic ethics audits. These audits attempt to uncoveropportu nitiesforunethicalbehaviorthatmightexistorth athaveoccurredintheorganization.Officersca then howfarthesepracticesvaryfromthepoliceorga nization's code and determine can theeconomicandpublicrelationscostofallowin them to continue. Strategies beadoptedforclosingtheethical gaps.

(ii) Whistleblower

<u>Protection</u>Sometimestheloyalbondsbetweent hepoliceorganizationandapolicemanarestrain edtothebreakingpoint,especiallywhen an employee thinks that

theorganizationisdoingsomethingwro ngorharmfultothepublic. Whenthatoccurs, the policeman 'blowsthewhistle'. Blowingthewhi stleoccurs when an insider reports allegedorgan izational misconduct to the public. Generally, employees are not free to speak out against their to padministrators because there is a public interest in allowing organizations to operate without har assment from insiders. Organizations

facecountless ethical issues and internal conflictsintheirdailypolicing.Choicesmustbe made where there are many

opinions. Mistakes are made, and waste does occur, but usually corrective actionistaken. If police people, based on their personal points of view, are freely allowed to expose these issues to he public and allegemis conduct,

the organization may be thrown into turmoilandbeunable tooperateeffectively.

Ontheotherhand, there may be situatio nsinwhichsociety's interests override those oft heorganization, so the employee may blow the whistle. Exampleswhere blowingthewhistlemayoccurarewillfulandwi despreadembezzlement, fraud, restraint of doing duty. or other illegalactivities, especially when there is an atte mpttocoverupthemisdeedsafterdiscovery. In these situations, there often ispublic support for blowing the whistle. Also, if an employee is fired for blowing the whist le, the courts in some instances permitthe emplovee to sue for damages. otherselected situations, Government laws may protecttheemployeefromdismissalanddischar ge.Wheneverwhistle-

blowingoccurs, both police administration and the courts tend to use valid criteria to determine if the employee's interests should be protected. *Some of the mare:*

- Whethertheissueisoflegitimatepubliccon cern
- Impactofthedisclosureonharmonyamong employees
- Damage totheorganization's reputation
- Truthorfalsehoodofthedisclosure
- Reasonforthedisclosure
- Natureoftheaudiencereceivingthedisclos ure

The costsofwhistle-blowingare highforboththeorganizationandthewhistleblower. The organization 'gets a black eye'whether it wins or loses. It also spends muchtime and money defending itself and maydamagegeneralemployeemoralebyseemi be unsympathetic ng legitimateconcernsexpressedbyemployees.E venishe ultimately wins, the costs can be high:moneyspentfortheadvocatesandlivingex penseswhilethecasedragson, mentalanguish, o stracismbyformercolleagueswho resent his 'betraving the team'. And, evenifhewins, his career at the

organization and perhaps in the marketplacemaybeover.

(iii) Hotline

Toavoidthecostofwhistleblowingforboththep oliceorganizationsandtheemployee,manyofth embecomemorereceptivetopoliceofficers'co mplaints. They establish hotlines that employees

canusetoreportdangerousorquestionablepract ices of the police personnel.

Others use "ombudsman" who can act asneutraljudgesandnegotiatorswhensupervis ors and employees disagree over apolicyorpractice. Confidential questionnaires areanother device to encourage potential whistleblowers to report their concerns before they become a big issue. In these ways, progressive policeorganizations attempt to lessen the tensions between company and individual and thus balance the confidence and trust between the two.

(iv) EthicsCommittees

Foreffectiveethical policing, policeorganizatio nsneedtosetupastandingcommittee of Top-level Police Officersappointed consider the ethical dimensionsofPoliceOrganization'spoliciesan dpractices. These committees are important for two reasons: first, they can inject ethicsinto of policyhighest levels makinginthepoliceforce; second, they serve as y mbolicfunctionthatcommunicatestopoliceoff icialsandalltheexternalstakeholderstheorgani zation's formal commitment to giving ethics animportanthearing.

V. FOURTYPESOFDILEMMASFA CEINPOLICING

PollockandBeckarhaveidentifiedfourtypesofdi lemmasin policing. Theyare:

- 1. Discretion
- 2. Duty
- 3. Honesty
- 4. Loyalty

A. Discretion:

The law provides rigid guidelines as to howpolice officers must act and how they can'tact, but it doesn't offer guidelines for howofficers will act in many circumstances. AsperLordScarman, "the exercise of discretion ofthe policingfunction. liesat theheart depends Successful policing theexerciseofdiscretiononhowthelawisenforc ed.Discretionistheartofsuitingaction particular Similarly, Waddington has stated that laws must beinterpreted and that interpretation is alwayscontextspecific. Therefore, discretion is unavoidable. We need to make a offourtypesofdiscretionwhilemakingdecision shere.

- (i) **ScopeDecision:**Forexample,ifa police officer goes to a placewhere there is a boundary disputebetweentwoparties,hecand ecide the scope by assuming ornotassumingthepossibilityofla wand order.
- (ii) Interpretative Decisions.
- (iii) DecisionsaboutPriority.
- (iv) TacticalDecisions.

WaysandMeanstoDealwithDiscreti on

- 1. RuleTightening,intrusiveaccount ability, training-ledchange,commandandcontrolhi erarchies.
- 2. "Discretionisthesourceofinforme d professionalism" [Davis,1996]. Da visadvocatedmorefreedomforprof essional judgment, within clearly re cognized and clearly articulated professional standards. It can be developed through a combination of training—particularly in ethics—constant de briefing and reflection, and sharing of good practices.

B. Duty

Theconceptofdiscretionislinkedwithduty,ort heobligationto actin acertainmanner.Butanofficer'sdutywillnotal waysbeobviousandethicalconsiderationscano ftensupplement"therules"ofbeingalawenforc ementagent.Enforcing a court order, which can lead todilemmas,can becitedasan example.

C. Honesty

Honesty is a critical attribute for an ethicalpoliceofficer. Alawen forcementagent must make hundreds of decisions in a day, and most of them require him or her to behonest in order to properly do the job. Butmany times, the officerwould be resorting to dishonesty to prove certain cases. The dilemmath at could arise is that without resorting to it one can 't punish the accused.

D. Loyalty

What should a police officer do if he or shewitnesses a partner using excessive force onasuspect? The choice oftensets loyalty agains tethics, especially if the officer doesn't condonet he violence. Should members of the police force side with the colleague who has done wrong as in the case of using excessive forced uring law and order or with justice?

VI. BIASES AFFECTINGPOLICEOFFICE RSANDMEANSTOOVERCO METHEM

Toillustratethedifferentbiasesthatcouldbe creeping into the policing function, let usconsider the passage given below to identifythesamebeforesuggestingmeasuresto overcomethem.

 Oneday, while being on law-and-order patrolling in the official Policevehicle, the Police Officerreache san accident spot where he sees them angled remains of a twowheeler and abusinear by. The officer im mediately calls the control room andpassesadirectiontoensurestrictact ionagainstover-

speedingbuses. One of his Deputy Super intendents of Police then callshim and requests to stop that order. He says that the bus operators would then go on strike. He didn't have

aparticularlygoodimpressionaboutthi sDy.SPandthesuspectsthatheis having ulterior motives. He simplyignores him. Meanwhile, his IG

callsandseeksinformationaboutanupc omingfestivalbandobastanddecidesto requestforadditionalforce.TheSpecial Branchpeoplereportaboutsomeantisocialsplanningaroadblockduringthe festival.Thepoliceofficial'sgutfeeling says that something will gowrong this timetoo.

Now,letustrytoidentifythevarious"biases" thatcould be affecting the DSP, asaPoliceOfficer.

- **AvailabilityHeuristics:** Availability isaheuristicwherebypeoplemakejudgmen tsaboutthelikelihoodofanevent based on how easily an example, instance, or case comes to mind. In thiscase, for instance, after witnessing theaccident, the DSP immediately passes an orderofanactionagainstoverspeedingbusesorhemusthaverecollected chaos that happened inthelastyearbandobastanddecidetoreque stforadditional force.
- AnchoringBias: Anchoringbiasoccur s when people rely too much onpre-existing information or the firstinformation they find when makingdecisions. In this case, the DSPd oesn't have a particularly good impression and simply ignores him. He may now be "anchored" that this person is not trustworthy and hence is not reliable.
- **HornEffect:** Horn effect is a formofcognitive bias that causes one's

- perception of another to be undulyinfluenced by a single negative trait. Here, the police of ficer has a badim pression about the DSP and believes that what all he says might have an ulterior motive.
- ulterior motive. ConfirmationBias: Itisthetendencyto searchfor,interpret,favor,andrecallinf ormationthatconfirmsorsupportsone' spriorbeliefs or values. In context, the special branch report of some anti-socials planning a road block duringthefestivalmaybekeptinmind.T his news might have been used bythe officer to confirm his feeling.Itisnotoutofplacetoexamineco nfirmationbiasingreaterdetailhere. Police people also display this biaswhentheyselectinformationthatsu pportstheirviews, ignoring contraryinf ormation, orwhen theyinterpretambiguousevidenceassu pportingtheir existing attitudes. The effect is the strongest for desiredoutcomesforemotionallycharg edissues and also for deeply

entrenchedbeliefs.Confirmationbiasc an'tbeeliminatedentirely, butitcanbem example, anaged, educationandtrainingincriticalthinkin gskills. Confirmation bias is a broadconstructcoveringanumberofex planations.Biasedsearchforinformatio n. biased interpretation information, and biased memoryrecall, have been invoked to explainfourspecificeffects. They are: (i)attitudepolarization(aswhenadisagre ement becomes more extremeeven though the different parties are evidence), (ii)beliefperseverance(aswhenbelieve persist after the forthemisshowntobefalse),(iii)the

informationencounteredearlyinaserie s), and (iv) illusory correlation(as when people falsely perceive anassociationbetweentwoeventsorsit uations.

- Some of the different methods orstepstoovercomebiasesareasunde r:
- ForewarnedisForearmed
- ExaminingEvidence(Relyingnotjust on experience but on facts andevidence to decide the next course ofaction)
- Understand(thevariousbiasesonehas)
- Change the Unexpected to Expected(afterunderstandingthebrain maybepreparedtoexpecttheunexpecte d)
- Normalize

VII. THEDIRTYHA RRYPROBLEMANDTHE NEGATIVEIMP LICATIONS OF RESORTING

TO

UNETHICALMEANS

The use of morally dirty means to achievemorally good ends is known as the "DirtyHarryProblem".Itisquitewidespreadinp olicing. We can cite the use of torture toobtainconfessionfromacriminalasanexampl e. Many a time, police justifies use ofunethical means by saying that they have noother alternative to do so. This name is takenfromaHollywoodmoviestarringClintEa stwood where the hero uses torture to findthe location of a kidnapped girl. And thereare many negative implications of resortingtounethical meansand they include:

1. Some human rightsorganizations,professionala ndacademicexperts,andmilitaryan d intelligence leaders reject theproposition—implicit or explicit. They believe that simplistic cresponses to the scenario may

- leadwellintentionedsocietiesdownaslipper yslopetolegalizedandsystematicto rture.
- 2. It has to be criticized as a poorvehicle for discovering truth, aspeople experiencing torture, oncebroken, are liable tomake anyth ing up in order to stop the pain and can become unable totell the difference between fact an diction under intense psychological pressure.
- 3. Consequentialism:
 Theconsequenceislikelytobealon
 gtermincreaseinviolenceanditdefea
 tsthegoodnessinsociety.
- 4. Ananalogousargumentholdsthath umandecision-makersarefundamentallyproneinc ertainsituationstobelievethattheirj udgment is better than it is, andthat, to be ethical, they must precommitthemselvestoaparticular ourseofactioninthosesituations. When we predetermine the results as the guilty place the assumption.
- 5. Questioningtheprofessionalcomp etency, the more competenta police man is at the use of legalmeans the less will he be obligedtoresorttodirtyalternatives . Suchpeoplequestionthetraining.
- 6. Joe Navarro, one of the FBI's topexperts in questioning techniquessays "Onlyapsychopath cantorture and be unaffected. You don't want people like that in your organization. They are untrust worthy, and tend to have other problems."

VIII. TYPESOFPOLICECORRUPT IONANDTHE

NOBLE

CAUSE

CORRUPTION

- *OpportunisticThefts:* Thesearethefts by policemen of things in their custody such as stealing from prisoners, stealing of seized items, etc.
- **SpeedMoney**ismoneytakentocomple telawfulworkontime?Verification exercises can be cited asanexamplein this context.
- **ProtectingillegalActivities:** Theyrefe r to activities of Police Officerstakingmoneyillegallyfromga mbling,drugpeddlers,etc.,toprotectthe magainstlegalaction.
- Planting/PaddlingEvidence: Toplan t evidence on accused in order tosecure convictioninacourtoflaw.
- **Direct Criminal Activities** are thosewherepoliceofficersaredirectlyi nvolvedincriminalactivitiessuchasilli cit gambling, etc.
- **Fixing refers** to faulty investigationin order to help the accused.
- **CorruptionAuthority:** Using official position to get personal gain.

"NobleCause"corruptionreferstoasituation people where will use unethical orillegalmeanstoattaindesirablegoals, are sult which benefit appears to greatergood. Whereastraditional corruption is d efinedbypersonalgain, 'noblecause corruption 'formswhensomeoneisconvinced of their righteousness, and will doanything within their achieve powers to thedesiredresult. Conditions for such corruptio usually occur individualsfeelnoadministrativeaccountabilit y,lackmorale and leadership, and lose faith

thecriminaljusticesystem. These conditions can be compounded by arrogance and weak supervision. Noble Cause corruption is then ursery of entrenched systematic corruption. It is a slipper slope because once aperson

startsdoingillegalactsevenforabettercausethe yeanlatercontinueforselfishpurposesalso.

IX. TRANSFORMINGPOLICING FROM LAWENFORCEMENT TO GUARDIANSHIP

AND PROTECTIONOFHUMANRI GHTS

- Civilservants in India take on oathtofollowtheconstitutionofIndiaan dourconstitutionfundamentallyguara nteeshumanrightsforeverybody.
- Policeistheprimaryagencythatprotect sthehumanrightsofthepeople.
- The police have to guarantee humanrightsforevery individual.
- All police functions can be seen inthelightofprotection of human rights.
- Patrollingthestreetsfortheprotection of the rights of the peopletopropertycanbecitedasoneexa mple.
- Theroleofthepoliceisalsoevolvingass ocietystarts working.
- Indianhasbeenmakingsteadyprogressi ntheareasofcustodialtortureanddeaths .Thisisareflection of the changing role of thepolice.
- TheinstallationofCCTVCamerasinpo licestationsandothersuchmajormeasu resareensuringthatpolice violations of human rights arenot going to be tolerated in modernsociety.
- Eventhelawsespeciallylawsonsexual violence have been amendedtorecognizethatsexualviolen cewhile in custody is a more seriousoffencethatcarriesmuchgreater

punishment than mere sexualviolence.

X. CONCLUDINGCOMMENTS

'EthicalPerformance' by Police Organizations meansadheringtosociety'sbasicrulesthatdefin erightandwrong,moralandimmoral,legalandil legalbehavior. In doing so, police personnel meetthe expectations of several stakeholders ofthe ecosystem, prevent social harm, protectthemselvesfromabusesbythepoliceoffi cialsandotheraffiliates, and preserve the dignity of the denizens and integrity ofthepoliceforce.Lawandethicsarenotidentica 1. Ethical rulest end to be broader and more basic than laws, and the generalpublic atlarge personnelalsotoactethically as well as lawfully. Anypolice organization can improve its ethicalperformance if its top brass project a strongethical tone, if its culture is open to broadethical standards, and if its safeguards areinstalledeffectivelytoencourageethicalbeh avior by all the members of staff whoworkthere.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Alan F. Westin (ed.) "Whistle Blowing! LoyaltyandDissentintheCorporation",NewYork:McG rawHill. 1981
- 2. Clinard, Marshall B., and Peter C. Yeager: Corpor ate Crime, New York: Free Press, 1980.
- 3. Culiberg Barbara & <u>Katarina Katja</u> <u>Mihelič</u>Katja Katarina; "The Evolution of Whistleblowing Studies: A Critical Review and Research Agenda"; "Journal of Business Ethics", pp. 787-803 (2017).
- 4. DeGeorge, Richard T.: Business Ethics, New York: Macmillan, 1982.
- 5. Freeman, R Edward: Strategic Management: AStakeholderApproach, Marshfield, MA: Pitman, 1984.
- 6. KatzM, Charles, MaguireR. Edward, "Transforming the Police—Thirteen Key Reforms", Waveland Press Inc. ISBN: 10:1-4786-3998-9; (2020).

- 7. Schein, Edgar H.: Organizational Culture and Leadership, San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1985.
- 8. Toffler, BarbaraLey: Tough Choices: Managers Talk Ethics, New York: Wiley, 1986
- 9. Velasquez, Manuel G.: Business Ethics: Concept and Cases, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1982.
- 10. Walton, Clarence C. (ed.): The Ethics of Corporate Conduct, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1977.
- 11. SteveHerbert, "PoliceSubcultureReconsider ed", Criminology, Volume-36, Issue2, [2006] pp.343-370, WileyOnlineLibrary, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.17 45-9125.1998.tb01251.x